

UK public finances and the financial crisis

Paul Johnson

Presentation given at Bank of Spain 26 September 2014




Outline

- Background: the state in the UK
- UK economy before, during and after the crisis
- Fiscal policy before the crisis
- Fiscal effects of the crisis
- Fiscal (and monetary) response to the crisis
 - Changes to taxation, spending and the fiscal framework
 - Distributional effect of changes to taxation and welfare spending
 - Did the tax and spending changes make the system more or less efficient?



UK spent around 40% of GDP publicly pre-crisis

Total managed expenditure, 1948 to 2007



Source: Office for Budget Responsibility's public finances databank.



Composition of spending over time

Weak contributory principle: Total managed expenditure, selected years Oriental language of the control of 100% aindhealbthigtpsitesplopantiins gand near-universal pensions 90% 23,4 23,6 80% □ Other Share of public spending 10,0 ■ Debt interest 70% 3,6 4,9 ■ Transport 60% ■ Public order and safety 10,0 50% Defence 40% ■ Education 17,2 30% ■ Health 11,6 Pensioner benefits 20% 14,4 13,5 Working age benefits 10% 11,7 10,4 0%




2007-08

1989-90

Changing composition of revenues

Net taxes and national insurance contributions, selected years






Source: Figure 2.5 of IFS Green Budget: February 2014.


GDP growth had averaged 3.2% a year over the decade up to 2007–08

Real GDP growth rate, 1956 to 2012



Inflation had been low and stable since the mid-1990s but rose during the crisis

Growth in prices, 1949 to 2007




Source: Office for National Statistics (series DODO, DODP, DODQ, CZVJ, CRAB, CHAW, D7BT, KAB9, KAC4, KAC7).




Employment rates had been rising steadily since mid-1990s

Employment rate among those aged 16 to 64, 1971 to 2013



Unemployment peaked at much lower level than seen during previous recessions


Unemployment rate among those aged 16 to 64, 1971 to 2013



© Institute for Fiscal Studies

Average real earnings have fallen

Growth in prices and average weekly earnings, 2007 to 2013




UK fiscal policy prior to the crisis

- Two fiscal rules
 - Golden rule: current budget must be in balance or surplus over the course of an economic cycle
 - Sustainable investment rule: debt must not exceed 40% of GDP
- Economic and fiscal forecasts produced by HM Treasury, officially controlled by the Chancellor of the Exchequer
- Public service spending totals set in cash terms for 3-year periods
 - 2007 Comprehensive Spending Review: covered 2008–09 to 2010–11
 - Intended to be 'tight': cutting public service spending as % of GDP




Pre-crisis plan was for fiscal consolidation by 2012–13

Public sector receipts and total managed expenditure, 1997 to 2018



Source: Office for Budget Responsibility's public finances databank and authors' calculations.

Borrowing was forecast to fall to 14% of GDP...




calculations.

Fiscal Studies

...and debt was forecast to peak just below 40%

Alternative measures of debt, 1948 to 2012




Effect of the crisis on UK's public finances

Level of trend GDP now forecast to be permanently lower than previously expected



A large hit to future potential output?




Effect of the crisis on UK's public finances

- Level of trend GDP now forecast to be permanently lower than previously expected
- Tax revenues fell as GDP fell in cash/real terms
 - Small fall as % of GDP due to fiscal drag and compositional changes
 - Fall in capital tax revenues
 - Financial sector contraction reduced corporation tax revenues



Spending and revenues, without action

Public sector receipts and total managed expenditure, 1997 to 2018




Effect of the crisis on UK's public finances

- Level of trend GDP now forecast to be permanently lower than previously expected
- Tax revenues fell as GDP fell in cash/real terms
 - Small fall as % of GDP due to fiscal drag and compositional changes
 - Fall in capital tax revenues
 - Financial sector contraction reduced corporation tax revenues
- Around half of spending set in advance in cash terms and upward pressure on cyclical spending during recession
 - Spending increased a lot as % of GDP
 - Policy default would have been for spending to remain high as % GDP without "action"



Spending and revenues, without action


Public sector receipts and total managed expenditure, 1997 to 2018



Borrowing

Alternative measures of borrowing, 1948 to 2018

Structural borrowing would have been 9.0% of GDP higher than anticipated precrisis



Source: Office for Budget Responsibility's public finances databank and authors' calculations.




Fiscal policy response to the crisis (1)

- Two new fiscal targets adopted in May 2010
 - Fiscal mandate: cyclically-adjusted current budget must be forecast to be in balance or surplus at the end of the rolling five-year forecast horizon
 - Currently being met
 - Supplementary target: debt must be falling as a share of GDP between 2014–15 and 2015–16
 - Currently on course to be missed
- These rules have proved very flexible



Forecast for borrowing deteriorated between November 2010 and December 2012


Change in official borrowing forecasts between Nov 2010 and Dec 2012





George Osborne has allowed the automatic stabilisers to operate during this parliament...


Change in official borrowing forecasts between Nov 2010 and Dec 2012





...but has announced measures to offset structural borrowing increase by 2017–18

Change in official borrowing forecasts between Nov 2010 and Dec 2012



Fiscal policy response to the crisis (2)

- The "no policy change" baseline
 - Tax and benefit rates/thresholds uprated as set out in legislation (mainly RPI-indexed)
 - Public service spending growth
 - Pre-announced cash plans up to 2010–11
 - Broadly grows in line with GDP thereafter
- Short-term fiscal stimulus followed by fiscal tightening
 - Stimulus in 2008–09 and 2009–10
 - Stimulus reversed and tax rises/spending cuts started in April 2010
 - Tax rises largely complete by April 2014
 - Spending cuts to continue to March 2019




12% from tax rises

8% from investment spending cuts 14% from welfare spending cuts 52% from other current spending

Cure

Composition of the policy response



Source: Authors' calculations based on HM Treasury and Office for Budget Responsibility figures.



Reduce


borrowing

by 10.3%

Now aiming for tighter fiscal position than planned pre-crisis

Spending and revenues, with action

Public sector receipts and total managed expenditure, 1997 to 2018




calculations.



Debt forecast to peak in 2015–16

Alternative measures of debt, 1948 to 2018



Source: Office for Budget Responsibility's public finances databank.

Aside: Fiscal institutions after the crisis

- Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR) created in May 2010
 - Independent fiscal council: accountable to Parliament, not the government
 - Produces fiscal and economic forecasts based on announced policy
 - Tasked with assessing compliance with the new fiscal targets
- OBR has significantly increased the transparency and credibility of official fiscal and economic forecasts



Aside: Monetary policy response

- Bank of England significantly loosened monetary policy
 - Interest rates: cut from 5.75% in July 2007 to 0.5% by March 2009
 - Central bank asset purchases started in March 2009 at £75bn, rising to £375bn by July 2012
- Sterling devalued significantly
 - By 25% against trade-weighted basket of currencies



Specific measures

Deep cuts to spending on some areas of public services



Planned cuts to public spending


Between 2010–11 and 2018–19 and after economy-wide inflation

- Total spending cuts of 4.4%
- But
 - debt interest spending rising
 - social security spending, particularly on pensioners, rising
 - other non-departmental spending such as on PAYG spending public service pensions and UK contribution to the EU budget rising
- Departmental spending on public services cut by 19.9%
- For spending other than health and schools, average cuts of over a third are planned



Whitehall departments: 'winners'

Departmental budget in 2015–16 compared to 2010–11, after economy-wide inflation




Note: Figures show cumulative change in total DEL after economy-wide inflation. Adjusted for consistency, including for business rate retention policy, movement of cost of operations into the special reserve, financial transactions associated with 'Right to Buy' policy, and the Green Investment Bank.



Whitehall departments: 'losers'

Departmental budget in 2015–16 compared to 2010–11, after economy-wide inflation



Note: Figures show cumulative change in total DEL after economy-wide inflation. Adjusted for consistency, including for business rate retention policy, movement of cost of operations into the special reserve, financial transactions associated with 'Right to Buy' policy, and the Green Investment Bank.




Specific measures

- Deep cuts to spending on some areas of public services
- Very large tax increases partially offset by some large tax cuts



Measures since Budget 2008 estimated to be a £24 billion net takeaway




Tax instrument

Source: Authors' calculations using data from the Office for Budget Responsibility. Estimates for impact in 2018–19 expressed in 2014–15 terms by deflating by nominal GDP growth.




Measures since Budget 2008 estimated to be a £24 billion net takeaway



Source: Authors' calculations using data from the Office for Budget Responsibility. Estimates for impact in 2018–19 expressed in 2014–15 terms by deflating by nominal GDP growth.




Measures since Budget 2008 estimated to be a £24 billion net takeaway



Source: Authors' calculations using data from the Office for Budget Responsibility. Estimates for impact in 2018–19 expressed in 2014–15 terms by deflating by nominal GDP growth.

Fiscal Studies

Measures since Budget 2008 estimated to be a £24 billion net takeaway arising from an £74 billion takeaway and a £50 billion giveaway from 483



Tax instrument

Source: Authors' calculations using data from the Office for Budget Responsibility. Estimates for impact in 2018–19 expressed in 2014–15 terms by deflating by nominal GDP growth.




Changes to the tax system (1/2)

- Increasing rates of NICs
 - Why NICs and not income tax?
- Increase to main rate of VAT
 - but UK VAT base very narrow: increase in main rate increases distortions for both producers and consumers
- Cutting main rate of corporation tax
- Recent reforms have made direct personal tax schedule less coherent
 - many have been taken out of income tax at considerable cost, but over one million low earners who don't pay income tax still pay National Insurance
 - system of pensions tax relief for those on high incomes has been made less efficient, more complicated and, arguably, unfair



Personal tax schedule






Changes to the tax system (2/2)

- Housing taxation shifted from council tax towards stamp duty
 - bad as stamp duty strong contender for the UK's worst tax
 - lack of reform of council tax: still regressive with respect to property values and, in England, based on 1991 values
- Rates of fuel duties have been cut substantially without a long-run strategy
 - every year the Chancellor cancels the next planned increase



Changing composition of revenues





Source: Crawford, Keynes and Emmerson (2014).

Specific measures

- Deep cuts to spending on some areas of public services
- Very large tax increases partially offset by some large tax cuts
- Large cuts to benefit spending focussed on working age individuals




Changes to the benefit system

- Pensioner benefits largely protected from cuts
 - And indexed more generously
- Cuts to Housing Benefits, family benefits, in work tax credits, disability benefits
 - Cuts to health-related benefits involve attempt to restrict benefits to least healthy through more stringent, more frequent, testing
- Increase in the earliest age at which individuals can receive a state pension has been brought forward
 - coherent response to the public finance challenge of rising longevity



Cure: all in this together?

Impact of tax and benefit reforms implemented January 2010 - April 2015 inclusive, no Universal Credit, by income decile




Fiscal Studies

Note: Assumes full take-up of means-tested benefits and tax credits. Source: Phillips (2014).

Cure: all in this together?

Impact of tax and benefit reforms implemented January 2010 - April 2015 inclusive, no Universal Credit, by family type




Note: Assumes full take-up of means-tested benefits and tax credits. Source: Phillips (2014).



Cure: all in this together?

Impact of tax and benefit reforms implemented January 2010 - April 2015 inclusive, no Universal Credit, by family type



Note: Assumes full take-up of means-tested benefits and tax credits. Source: Phillips (2014).



Conclusions

- Pre-crisis
 - planned to reduce structural borrowing from 2.7% to 1.2% of GDP
- Trend GDP now expected to be substantially lower
- Without action, structural borrowing would have risen by 9% of GDP
- 9-year fiscal consolidation plan
 - 10.3% of GDP
 - 88% from spending cuts
- Deep cuts to spending on some areas of public services
 - share of budget going on NHS continues to grow
- Very large tax increases partially offset by some large tax cuts
 - some changes have improved operation of tax system, but many have worsened it
- Large cuts to benefit spending focussed on working age individuals





UK public finances and the financial crisis

Paul Johnson

Presentation given at Bank of Spain 26 September 2014

