

**Discussion of**  
***“Interest Rate Risk, Deposit Rates, and Financial Stability”***

**José-Luis Peydró**

*(LUISS University & EIEF Einaudi)*

***Bank of Spain, Madrid, 12<sup>th</sup> June 2025***

## *General comments and outline of this discussion*

### **Great overall paper!**

- Important question, for both academia and policy
- Excellent data and very interesting results
- Work in progress, so the paper can still improve

### **My discussion:**

- Credit risk vs interest rate risk: comments & suggestions
- Other suggestions to improve the paper
  - Bank competition
  - Derivatives

## *One-page summary of the paper*

### **Question:**

- Analysis of interest rate risk, deposit rates, and financial stability

### **Data and shock:**

- Supervisory, matched granular German loan & deposit data from the Bundesbank and the ECB
- Exploit the unexpected rise in euro area monetary rates over 2022-23

### **Key result:**

- Banks with higher deposit ratios encountered greater interest rate risk, resulting in significant implicit losses within their asset portfolios
- Nevertheless, banks sustained stable profits by offering lower deposit rates, indicating a natural hedge through their deposit franchise

## *Interest rate risk vs credit risk*

- **Interest rate risk and credit risk are mostly separated**
    - In **policy**: Pillar 1 vs 2 Basel regulation
    - But also in **academic** analyses
  - But **even credit risk due to higher monetary rates**
    - A key exception is a theoretical paper by Martin Hellwig in 1993
  - This is despite that **e.g. subprime borrowers** had variable loan interest rates in the **2000s boom**, but when the Fed increased MP rates from 1% to 5.25%, these borrowers (and others) defaulted → banking crisis
- **I think an analysis of both risks associated to higher MP rates is crucial**
- Related, key question is what banks hedge: bank value vs cash flows?
  - Or hedge profits? In this case, via current IFRS 9 provisions (or the US one), if ECL were calculated well, credit risk could be covered in profits
    - But many banks use a “standardized approach” to provisions (which does not vary much with MP rate changes). For the IRB model, moreover, it has been shown that bank manipulate IRB models

## *Interest rate risk vs credit risk*

- Interest rate risk and credit risk are mostly separated
    - In **policy**: Pillar 1 vs 2 Basel regulation
    - But also in **academic** analyses
  - But **even credit risk due to higher monetary rates**
    - A key exception is a theoretical paper by Martin Hellwig in 1993
  - This is despite that **e.g. subprime borrowers** had variable loan interest rates in the **2000s boom**, but when the Fed increased MP rates from 1% to 5.25%, these borrowers (and others) defaulted → banking crisis
- I think an analysis of both risks associated to higher MP rates is crucial
- Related, key question is what banks hedge: bank value vs cash flows?
  - Or hedge profits? In this case, via current IFRS 9 provisions (or the US one), if ECL were calculated well, credit risk could be covered in profits
    - But many banks use a “standardized approach” to provisions (which does not vary much with MP rate changes). For the IRB model, moreover, it has been shown that bank manipulate IRB models

## *Interest rate risk vs credit risk*

- Interest rate risk and credit risk are mostly separated
    - In **policy**: Pillar 1 vs 2 Basel regulation
    - But also in **academic** analyses
  - But **even credit risk due to higher monetary rates**
    - A key exception is a theoretical paper by Martin Hellwig in 1993
  - This is despite that **e.g. subprime borrowers** had variable loan interest rates in the **2000s boom**, but when the Fed increased MP rates from 1% to 5.25%, these borrowers (and others) defaulted → banking crisis
- I think an analysis of both risks associated to higher MP rates is crucial
- Related, key question is what banks hedge: bank value vs cash flows?
  - Or hedge profits? In this case, via current IFRS 9 provisions (or the US one), if ECL were calculated well, credit risk could be covered in profits
    - But many banks use a “standardized approach” to provisions (which does not vary much with MP rate changes). For the IRB model, moreover, it has been shown that bank manipulate IRB models

## *Monetary policy rates on banking stability: credit vs interest rate risk*

- This paper is about **understanding monetary policy & financial stability**
- We have a **very related paper, partly using Bank of Spain data**, that addresses both **credit risk and interest rate risk** and analyzes banking crises associated to higher monetary policy rates
  - “Monetary Policy, Inflation, and Crises: Evidence from History and Administrative Data,” G. Jiménez, D. Kuvshinov, J.-L. Peydró, B. Richter *Journal of Finance*, forthcoming
  - Our paper is mostly country level, not bank level, except for one part, so both papers complement very well each other
- We show that a **U-shaped MP rate path increases banking crisis risk, via credit and asset price cycles, analyzing 17 countries over 150 years**

## *Monetary policy rates on banking stability: credit vs interest rate risk*

- This paper is about **understanding monetary policy & financial stability**
- We have a **very related paper, partly using Bank of Spain data**, that addresses both **credit risk and interest rate risk** and analyzes banking crises associated to higher monetary policy rates
  - “Monetary Policy, Inflation, and Crises: Evidence from History and Administrative Data,” G. Jiménez, D. Kuvshinov, J.-L. Peydró, B. Richter *Journal of Finance*, forthcoming
  - Our paper is mostly country level, not bank level, except for one part, so both papers complement very well each other
- We show that a **U-shaped MP rate path increases banking crisis risk, via credit and asset price cycles, analyzing 17 countries over 150 years**



- **Monetary rate (MP) hikes** (raw or instrumented using the international finance trilemma) materially **increase banking crisis risk**, but **only if preceded by prolonged monetary rate cuts**
  - These patterns are **unique to banking crises**, unlike non-financial-crisis (even deep) recessions
  - Stronger for deeper U-shapes, **over the systematic monetary policy component**
- For the **mechanism**:
  - Booms: **Prolonged MP rate cuts** raise the likelihood of “red zones” of **high credit and asset price** growth, consistent with higher **credit supply** and risk-taking
  - Bust: **Subsequent MP rate hikes** strongly reduce credit and asset prices, and substantially increase **banks’ realized credit risk, rather than interest rate risk**
  - Only red zones combined with U-shaped rate paths strongly increase crisis risk
- Finally, we find consistent results in administrative, loan-level data from **Spain’s post-1995 boom and crisis, notably credit supply & loan defaults**

## *Jiménez—Kuvshinov—Peydró—Richter (Journal of Finance, forthcoming)*

- **Monetary rate (MP) hikes** (raw or instrumented using the international finance trilemma) materially **increase banking crisis risk**, but **only if preceded by prolonged monetary rate cuts**
  - These patterns are **unique to banking crises**, unlike non-financial-crisis (even deep) recessions
  - Stronger for deeper U-shapes, **over the systematic monetary policy component**
- For the **mechanism**:
  - Booms: **Prolonged MP rate cuts** raise the likelihood of “red zones” of **high credit and asset price** growth, consistent with higher **credit supply** and risk-taking
  - Bust: **Subsequent MP rate hikes** strongly reduce credit and asset prices, and substantially increase **banks’ realized credit risk, rather than interest rate risk**
  - Only red zones combined with U-shaped rate paths strongly increase crisis risk
- Finally, we find consistent results in administrative, loan-level data from **Spain’s post-1995 boom and crisis, notably credit supply & loan defaults**

## *Jiménez—Kuvshinov—Peydró—Richter (Journal of Finance, forthcoming)*

- **Monetary rate (MP) hikes** (raw or instrumented using the international finance trilemma) materially **increase banking crisis risk**, but **only if preceded by prolonged monetary rate cuts**
  - These patterns are **unique to banking crises**, unlike non-financial-crisis (even deep) recessions
  - Stronger for deeper U-shapes, **over the systematic monetary policy component**
- For the **mechanism**:
  - Booms: **Prolonged MP rate cuts** raise the likelihood of “red zones” of **high credit and asset price** growth, consistent with higher **credit supply** and risk-taking
  - Bust: **Subsequent MP rate hikes** strongly reduce credit and asset prices, and substantially increase **banks’ realized credit risk, rather than interest rate risk**
  - Only red zones combined with U-shaped rate paths strongly increase crisis risk
- Finally, we find consistent results in administrative, loan-level data from **Spain’s post-1995 boom and crisis, notably credit supply & loan defaults**

*You want to isolate a “pure interest rate risk”,  
BUT you have to deal with*

**Reach for longer maturity vs higher credit risk-taking** in your paper:

- When MP rates are low for long, there is **both** higher risk-taking via longer maturities and also via higher borrower risk
  - Your paper mentions and tries to exploit one: maturity
  - But you treated banks during 2014-2021 took on both risks, so separate them may be very difficult
  - So it is better to use both of them, as higher MP rates affect both

## *Other suggestions to improve the paper*

- **Bank competition:** The intro and motivation is about bank competition, but then not any regression analysis → exploit differential bank competition within Germany
  - Do you find stronger effects of the natural hedge and hence higher interest rate risk on the asset side (more duration risk) where bank competition is lower?
- **Balance sheet (“natural”) vs derivative hedging:** As you can have access to the individual data on hedging via derivatives 😊 you could also analyze it:
  - Are balance sheet (“natural”) hedging vs derivative hedging substitutes or complements (or independent)?