Discussion of "Board of Director Networks, Gender, and Firm Performance"

LISA DETTLING (FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD*)

PREPARED FOR CONFERENCE ON DIVERSITY, EQUITY AND INCLUSION IN ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND CENTRAL BANKING

*The analysis and conclusions set forth are those of the authors and do not represent the views of the research staff or the Board of Governors

What we know

Board gender diversity and firm performance:

- Bank boards have few women (~10pct)
- Expect diverse teams to be more productive, but empirical results on gender diversity mixed
- Seems to depend upon the extent women are actually integrated into board work (i.e., inclusion)

Board member networks and firm performance:

- (-) Cronyism, reduce monitoring ability/incentives
- (+) Information sharing, efficiency gains
- Empirical results mixed; depends on level networks links occurs

This paper asks: do networks of corporate board members affect firm profitability differently depending on gender of the board member (and gender of network)?

What we learn from this paper

- (1) Additional connections increase firm profitability for female board members
- ...(2) More so when those connections are to men
- ...(3) More so when there are **other women** on the board
- (4) Connections for male board members have **no effect** on firm profitability (if anything, negative)

Interpretation: boards are only able to benefit from productivity gains of a diverse team when women actually integrated into decision-making

- Anecdotes about contributions being dismissed, "old boys' network"
- Survey evidence that women with more connections feel that they participate more equally in decision-making

What lessons might be applied to fostering DE&I in economics and finance?

This paper (and others) indicate expanding diversity may not be enough if diverse perspectives are not included in decision-making

• How do we foster inclusion?

This paper: women are more included when they have prior network connections to the men (and to a lesser extent, women) they work with:

- Is this just proxying for (unobservably) less diversity on other dimensions (e.g., social class, race/ethnicity)?
 - Could you add more data to test this hypothesis?
- Or, is there a causal relationship?
 - Reputational/trust effects? Women with prior connections learn to navigate dismissive environment?
 - Is there some way to examine these mechanisms with data or follow-up surveys?

What lessons might be applied to fostering DE&I in economics and finance?

What policy solutions might increase inclusion?

- A naïve approach might be to search for candidates within our existing professional networks.
- This feels like exactly the wrong approach

Understanding why networks matter could better inform policy solutions, e.g., efficacy of:

- Trainings on unconscious bias
- Mentorship/career coaching programs, etc.

Some comments on empirics

Switch to pooled analysis w/ interaction terms for high/low percent female share splits:

- Std errors much larger in the low female share specs making it hard to interpret
- There are also some differences across outcomes and I am not sure what, if anything, we should make of that (e.g., NIM vs other outcomes)

I found the IV pretty compelling and am not sure what the event study estimates add

- Only look at men, and men's connections do not seem to affect performance in the IV anyways
- If to bolster exclusion restriction, a placebo reduced form estimate would be a more compelling for "test"

I think a small discussion of the "LATE" interpretation and who the compliers are is a useful exercise for the reader.

Thanks

A really well done, interesting and important paper!