The Rise of Bond Financing in Europe

Olivier Darmouni Melina Papoutsi Columbia Business School European Central Bank

OCTOBER 19TH, 2021

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this presentation do not necessarily reflect those of the European Central Bank or the Eurosystem.

Euro Area NFCs bond market growing fast since 2000



Macro trends favorable to bond financing:

Bank loan supply (Becker and Ivashina 2018, Altavilla et al. 2017);

Monetary policy (Grosse-Rueschkamp et al. 2019, De Santis and Zaghini 2019, Todorov 2020);

Bankruptcy reforms (Becker and Josephson 2016)

Euro Area NFCs bond market growing fast since 2000



Macro trends favorable to bond financing:

Bank loan supply (Becker and Ivashina 2018, Altavilla et al. 2017); Monetary policy (Grosse-Rueschkamp et al. 2019, De Santis and Zaghini 2019, Todorov 2020);

Bankruptcy reforms (Becker and Josephson 2016)

This paper: Dissect aggregate growth through lens of firm-level data to understand implications

- Micro-data on firms debt structure and balance sheet over past two decades (public firms: CIQ from 2002, private firms: Orbis + CSDB from 2010)
- Broadened firms access to funding, but can also lead to new risks

Related literature

Classical U.S. studies: Denis-Mihov 2003, Faulkender-Petersen 2006, Hale-Santos 2008, Rauh-Sufi 2010

 \rightarrow Euro bond market less mature than U.S.

Macro-trends driving bond financing in Europe: Loan supply [Altavilla et al., 2017, Becker and Ivashina, 2018]; Monetary policy [Grosse-Rueschkamp et al., 2019, Arce et al., 2018, De Santis and Zaghini, 2019, Giambona et al., 2020, Todorov, 2020, Pegoraro and Montagna, 2021]; Bankruptcy reforms [Becker and Josephson, 2016]; minibonds [Nobili et al., 2020, Ongena et al., 2018]

ightarrow holistic view over longer time frame, including private firms; risk implications

 Non-banks and financial fragility: Bonds and financial distress [Hoshi et al. 1990, 1991, Bolton and Scharfstein 1996, Crouzet 2017]; bond funds [Goldstein et al. 2017], Falato et al. 2020, Ma et al. 2020], commercial paper [Kacperczyk and Schnabl 2010], CLO [Fleckenstein et al. 2020]; banking spillovers [Balloch 2018]

ightarrow investor composition; link 2020 turmoil to previous market expansion

First fact: Bond market growth reached well beyond largest firms

- Bond share of total debt doubled across the firm size distribution
- Constant stream of new issuers entering bond market



Question: What are implications for firms and policy-makers?

Bank vs. bond financing: Illustrative framework

- Equilibrium debt composition: Firms choose investment/leverage m jointly with bond share β
 - Project I pays RI with prob. p_H , χI otherwise; lenders require return ρI
 - Financial frictions: limited cash A + share $\theta < 1$ can be pledged to lenders in state H
 - Eq. investment $I = m(\beta)A$ depends on debt composition
- Optimal bond share: trade-off btw bank and bond financing to max investment multiplier $m(\beta)$

Bank vs. bond financing: Illustrative framework

- Equilibrium debt composition: Firms choose investment/leverage m jointly with bond share β
 - Project I pays RI with prob. p_H , χI otherwise; lenders require return ρI
 - Financial frictions: limited cash A + share $\theta < 1$ can be pledged to lenders in state H
 - Eq. investment $I = m(\beta)A$ depends on debt composition
- Optimal bond share: trade-off btw bank and bond financing to max investment multiplier $m(\beta)$
 - Bank loans have lower downside risk

(i) dispersed creditors ⇒ ↑ cost of financial distress [Bolton Scharfstein 96 Becker Josephson 16 Crouzet 17]
European legal system: "A law which produces an efficient outcome in times of pre-dominant relationship-lending does not necessarily promote successful bond restructuring" [Ehmke 18]
(ii) bond fund outflows [Goldstein et al. 2017] (iii) rating downgrades [Almeida et al. 17 Acharya et al. 18]

- $ightarrow\,$ Low state payoff $\chi(eta)$ decreases with bond share eta
- Bonds economize on intermediation costs (monitoring, regulatory costs, market power...)
- ightarrow Lenders' required return ho(eta) decreases with bond share eta

Empirical predictions

- Framework relates rise of bond financing to macro trends and firm characteristics
 - Aggregate growth: lower loan supply (higher bank's cost of funds), loose monetary policy (lower bond investors cost of funds), institutional reforms (higher χ)
 - Bond market selection: issuers are safer than non-issuers (higher p_H)

Empirical predictions

- Framework relates rise of bond financing to macro trends and firm characteristics
 - Aggregate growth: lower loan supply (higher bank's cost of funds), loose monetary policy (lower bond investors cost of funds), institutional reforms (higher χ)
 - Bond market selection: issuers are safer than non-issuers (higher p_H)
- Firm-level prediction I: changing composition of bond issuers
 - Riskier and smaller firms enter bond market in recent years

Empirical predictions

- Framework relates rise of bond financing to macro trends and firm characteristics
 - Aggregate growth: lower loan supply (higher bank's cost of funds), loose monetary policy (lower bond investors cost of funds), institutional reforms (higher χ)
 - Bond market selection: issuers are safer than non-issuers (higher p_H)
- Firm-level prediction I: changing composition of bond issuers
 - Riskier and smaller firms enter bond market in recent years
- Firm-level prediction II: entering bond market implies both growth and risk



 New issuers borrow and invest more, but more exposed to negative shocks



Changing composition of bond issuers

- Trends in credit ratings: fast rise of BBB issuers, just above speculative-grade
- However, credit ratings understates the underlying shift in risk: many more unrated issuers in Europe than in U.S.
 - less than 15% of new issuers are rated

Changing composition of bond issuers

- Trends in credit ratings: fast rise of BBB issuers, just above speculative-grade
- However, credit ratings understates the underlying shift in risk: many more unrated issuers in Europe than in U.S.
 - less than 15% of new issuers are rated
- Firm characteristics: new issuers are smaller, less profitable, but more levered than historical issuers
- Especially true of private issuers



New issuers use of funds

• Large increase in leverage: first issuance massive at firm-level = 30-40% of debt

New issuers use of funds

• Large increase in leverage: first issuance massive at firm-level = 30-40% of debt

- Limited substitution of bank loans
- Large investment and growth instead
- Increase in interest rate (and maturity)



Bond investor composition

• Debate on fragility of bond supply:

Long-term investors (pensions, insurance, central banks) [Becker Benmelech 21] vs. Bond funds: outflows, fire sales and market freezes [Goldstein et al. 17, Falato et al. 20]

ightarrow micro-data on investor holdings at bond-level: investor composition across types of issuers

Bond investor composition

• Debate on fragility of bond supply:

Long-term investors (pensions, insurance, central banks) [Becker Benmelech 21] vs. Bond funds: outflows, fire sales and market freezes [Goldstein et al. 17, Falato et al. 20]

ightarrow micro-data on investor holdings at bond-level: investor composition across types of issuers

• 40% of aggregate held by pensions + insurance + ECB

Bond investor composition

Debate on fragility of bond supply:

Long-term investors (pensions, insurance, central banks) [Becker Benmelech 21] vs. Bond funds: outflows, fire sales and market freezes [Goldstein et al. 17, Falato et al. 20]

ightarrow micro-data on investor holdings at bond-level: investor composition across types of issuers

- 40% of aggregate held by pensions + insurance + ECB
- Strikingly different for weaker issuers: insurance companies and pensions funds hold only 15% of small private issuers' bonds, ECB ≈ 0%
- Firm-investor matching reinforce fragility: investment mandates of LT investors can exclude weaker issuers

4th quartile of assets	3rd quartile of assets
Central banks	Central banks
Deposit taking corporations	Deposit taking corporations
General government	[General government
Bouseholds	Households
Insurance corporations & Pension funds	Insurance corporations & Pension funds
Non-MMF Investment funds	Non-MMF Investment funds
Other financial institutions	Other financial institutions
Rest of the world	Rest of the world
2nd quartile of assets	1st quartile of assets
Central banks	Central banks
Deposit taking corporations	Deposit taking corporations
General government	General government
Households	Households
Insurance corporations & Pension funds	Insurance corporations & Pension funds
Non-MME Investment funds	Non-MME Investment funds
Other financial institutions	Other financial institutions
Rest of the world	Rest of the world
10 20 30 4	io o' 10 20 30 40

Rating downgrades

• Financial distress ightarrow real effects [Acharya et al 18 Fracassi Weitzner 20 Almeida et al 17]

- Bond market turmoil in 2020: Spike in spreads and fund outflows following COVID-19 shock
 - Wave of downgrades in face of deep recession → Which firms?

Rating downgrades

• Financial distress ightarrow real effects [Acharya et al 18 Fracassi Weitzner 20 Almeida et al 17]

- Bond market turmoil in 2020: Spike in spreads and fund outflows following COVID-19 shock
 - Wave of downgrades in face of deep recession \rightarrow Which firms?
 - Most downgrade are recent new issuers, many of them private
- 2004-18 event study: no bond issuance after downgrade (unlike US, Rauh Sufi 10)



Implications

- Policy efforts directed at growing the bond market in wake of severe banking crisis
 - Growth benefited many firms \implies successful in broadening firms access to credit

Implications

- Policy efforts directed at growing the bond market in wake of severe banking crisis
 - Growth benefited many firms \implies successful in broadening firms access to credit
- Policies to mitigate potential new risks behind the expansion?
 - Investor composition and fragility: can be a policy tool (Italian minibonds)
 - \implies build more comprehensive framework of bond supply and macro implications
 - Many more firms are now exposed to market turmoil

Implications

- Policy efforts directed at growing the bond market in wake of severe banking crisis
 - Growth benefited many firms \implies successful in broadening firms access to credit
- Policies to mitigate potential new risks behind the expansion?
 - Investor composition and fragility: can be a policy tool (Italian minibonds)
 - \implies build more comprehensive framework of bond supply and macro implications
 - Many more firms are now exposed to market turmoil
- Open question: Extending lender-of-last resort policies to bond market?
 - If market turmoil purely driven by non-fundamental runs and panics, yes
 - but potential for excessive risk-taking, exacerbating reach for yield in financial markets
 - → Revisit macro-prudential policy toolbox

Thank you!