BANCO DE **ESPAÑA**

The finances of American households I the Past Three Recessions: Evidence from the Survey of Consumer Finances

Kevin Moore and Michael Palumbo

Discussant: Ernesto Villanueva

Household Finance and Macroeconomics

Banco de España

Madrid, October 15th, 2009

OVERVIEW

- Evolution of US household networth following three peaks in economic activity:
 - -July 1990
 - -March 2001
 - -December 2007
 - (pre-peak periods not considered)
- Recessions differ in:
 - Magnitude of asset price drops:
 - Dec. 2007 most severe (real estate prices and stock market)
 - Household exposure (debt). Dec 2007
 - -Increase in home ownership / housing-related indebtedness
 - -Home ownership.

1. The (very clever) strategy



- Portfolio shares in the latest SCF before the peak
- Asset prices (real estate and stock market)
- Project aggregate net worth drops by key covariates:
 - Age and income quartiles.
- W(2007) can be written as:

$$W_{2007} = \sum_{h} (p_{real}^{2007} real _est^{2007} + p_{stock}^{2007} stock^{2007} + p_{other}^{2007} other^{2007})$$

- Project W(2009) and counterfactual wealth by reweighting expression with different prices
 - Holding constant the shares in 1990
 - Change in wealth in 1990-1992 with the 2007-2009 asset revaluation?
- FINDINGS: Widespread drops in networth:
 - Large changes in prices in 2007-2009 play prominent role
 - Changes in portfolios play a (minor) role.

COMMENT 1: INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS?

A. Welfare point of view

Is the interpretation of the results sensitive to the model of wealth accumulation?
Two extremes:

Buffer stock model of saving: wealth held for precautionary purposes, drops documented are important, but perhaps transitory

Life-cycle model, drops may have devastating consequences on welfare (specially on the elderly).

Can we assess what scenario?

B. Further detail on the consequences of the distribution of wealth losses

- Portfolio composition as a channel of transmission into consumption?
 - Different groups of the population have different MPC's out of wealth (Maki and Palumbo, 2001)
 - Age, income, home ownership relevant channels
- A financial vulnerability story?
 - Are discontinuities in behavior at certain threshold, the distribution of net worth matters.
 - For example: something distinctive happen when Home equity<0

2. COMMENT 2: MACRO FEEDBACK

- Projections (and counterfactuals) typically assume no feedback effects from the variable measured (wealth) into prices.
 - 30% of households below 45 are predicted to have negative home equity in July 2009
- Real estate prices affected by the fall in home equity?
- Assume that for a substantial share of the population, Home equity<0, d(home equity)/d(time)<0 & costs of foreclosure are low
 - A natural reaction is to default on loans.
 - In turn, more houses in the market.
 - In turn, house prices will fall
- Is it possible to sign the bias?
 - Scenario 1: Behavioral responses mitigate the measured impact of housing prices on financial distress:
 - •we are predicting negative equity when households would end up with zero wealth if they foreclose
 - Scenario 2: Foreclosure is such large shock that depletes wealth above and beyond estimated amounts.

COMMENT 3: Alternative benchmark?

- Net worth drops look very different from those in previous recessions.
- "Those latter developments appear to have left many households without significant buffers"
 - Statement about wealth *level*, but results on *percent changes*.
- Could one use the same methodology to examine (imputed) consumption responses?
 - 1. Gauge impacts of changes in wealth on welfare (and on
 - 2. Compare to existing estimates of the marginal propensity to consume out of wealth?
- 2004/2007 SCF contains (some) consumption data:
 - Food: Successfully used to impute total non-durable consumption
 Blundell, Preston and Pistaferri (AER, 09), Skinner (EL 88).
 - Some durables (cars)
- Proposal:
 - 1. Estimate using 2004/2007 SCF C(2007)=f[Housing(2007), Financial wealth(2007), age(2007), income(2007)]
 - a. Use projections of changes in Housing and Financial wealth to come up with a predicted C(2009)
 - b. The ratio of C(2009)-C(2007) to W(2009)-W(2007) gives a way to understand the contribution of asset revaluations to consumption.
- a. Can be compared to existing estimates of the MPC out of wealth
- b. Permits assessing welfare consequences.

COMMENT 4: Alternative outcomes.

- Transmission of asset revaluation into wealth changed through differences in asset ownership.
 - -Home and stock ownership.
- Aggregate asset ownership changed by
 - -Concern about the (un)observed characteristics of recent home-owners
 - -Apparently riskier, lower incomes, higher LTV (Gerardi et al, 08).
- Make sense to go finer than broad age groups and income quintiles
 - Examine outcomes outcomes by interactions between income, age and home ownership and reweighting
- Paper looks at changes in financial distress measured by
 - -1(Debt>.4*income)*1(Household net worth down by more than 20%)
- Replace: "Household net worth down by more than 20%" vs "Negative housing equity".
 - -Negative housing equity and severe debts may be stronger predictor of foreclosure
 - -Specially if those consumers have limited means of coping with income drops (rather than default).

OTHER COMMENTS

- More detail on the projections?
 - -How is indirect stockholding dealth with?
 - -401(k), IRAs and so on
- Standard errors of the magnitudes?
 - -Shares are estimates.
- Interest rate drops?
 - -Affect the return of certain assets, aggravating the wealth drop.
 - -Can compensate some debts (if cost is adjusted to market rates)?

5. CONCLUSIONS

- Very clever way of using micro data to explore the distribution of welfare losses.
- Use of portfolio compositions in past recessions to assess the relevance of changes in household vulnerability very inspiring.

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

