

General Information (Origin of Request)		
<input type="checkbox"/> User Requirements Document (URD) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> User Detailed Functional Specification (UDFS) <input type="checkbox"/> User Handbook (UHB) <input type="checkbox"/> Other User Functional or Technical Documentation (SYS)		
<b>Request raised by:</b> 4CB	<b>Institute:</b> ECB	<b>Date raised:</b> February 2020
<b>Request title:</b> Descoping CSDL validation BICFI and AnyBIC		<b>Request ref. no:</b> CSLD-0039-UDFS
<b>Request type:</b> Common		
<b>1. Legal/business importance parameter:</b>	<b>2. Market implementation efforts parameter – Stakeholder impact:</b>	
<b>3. Operational impact:</b> na	<b>4. Financial impact parameter:</b>	
<b>5. Functional/ Technical impact:</b> low	<b>6. Interoperability impact:</b> na	
<b>Requestor Category:</b> 4CB	<b>Status:</b> Pending MIB Approval	

**Reason for change and expected benefits/business motivation:**

RTGS and CLM are NSP-agnostic; this introduces the need to perform all message related validations by the addressed services (CLM, RTGS). This Change Request identifies the issues that have arisen for the implementation and expresses the decision by the TCCG not to include the Institution Type data.

The validation concerned includes the requirement of a valid Business Identifier BIC. Business identifier codes are distinguished between financial or nonfinancial institutions. Elements for the validation are BICFI and AnyBIC.

BICFI

Valid BICs for financial institutions are registered and published by the ISO 9362 Registration Authority in the ISO directory of BICs, and consist of eight (8) or eleven (11) contiguous characters.

AnyBIC

Only a valid business identifier code is allowed. Business identifier codes for financial or non-financial institutions are registered and published by the ISO 9362 Registration Authority in the ISO directory of BICs, and consists of eight (8) or eleven (11) contiguous characters.

SWIFT provides three different sources for BICs, which contain besides BICs additional data:

Bank Directory Plus

Contains National Sorting Code but no Institution Type

BIC Plus File

Contains Institution Type but no National Sorting Code

BIC Directory

Provides neither National Sorting Code nor Institution Type

Bank Directory Plus does not provide needed information to distinguish non-financial and financial institutions. Only BIC Plus File contains the needed information. BIC Plus File cannot replace Bank Directory Plus because National Sorting Code is only provided in Bank Directory Plus.

The validation regarding whether a BIC identifies a financial or non-financial institution is not required from a business point of view in RTGS/CLM, nor for other TARGET services.

Consequently, the current implementation does not foresee the use of an Institution Type attribute as it uses Bank Directory Plus.

Following these requirements on CLM/RTGS side a descoping of the CSLD validation approach for BICFI and AnyBIC was decided by Written Procedure from TCCG in December 2019. Any possible validations will only check whether the BIC is valid – without checking whether the BIC indicates a financial or non-financial institution. It is confirmed that the existing level of validation is sufficient.

**Description of requested change:**

All validation rules and the respective impacted XPathes with ID "IV00260" (error code D001, referring to financial BICs only), which are indicated in the attached Excel list will be switched to "IV00320" (error code D008, referring to financial or nonfinancial BICs).

Changes on following validation rules :

Validation rule ID	Validation rule description
<b>IV00260</b>	Valid BICs for financial institutions are registered and published by the ISO 9362 Registration Authority in the ISO directory of BICs, and consist of eight (8) or eleven (11) contiguous characters.
<b>IV00320</b>	Only a valid Business identifier code is allowed. Business identifier codes for financial or nonfinancial institutions are registered and published by the ISO 9362 Registration Authority in the ISO directory of BICs, and consists of eight (8) or eleven (11) contiguous characters.



RTGS and CLM business validation

---

**Submitted annexes / related documents:**

---

**Proposed wording for the Change request:**

In addition in MyS RTGS UG camt.011 the validation "IV00260" must be removed without replacement by "IV00320".  
x-path: /Document/ModifyLmt/LmtDtIs/LmtId/Dflt/AcctOwnr/FinInstnId/BICFI

The examples definitions in UDFS RTGS and CLM and examples messages on MyS need to be adapted accordingly, in particular replacement error code D001 and related error text «Invalid financial institution BIC in //Dynamic error including x-path//« by text «Invalid financial or non-financial institution BIC in //Dynamic error including x-path// «

RTGS :

camt.010\_RTGS\_CurrentLimitsQueryResponse\_Error\_bs033.xml

camt.047\_RTGS\_CurrentReservationQueryResponse\_Error\_bs038.xml

CLM :

camt.047\_CLM\_CurrentReservationQueryResponse\_Error\_bs046.xml

---

**High level description of Impact:**

---

**Impacts on other projects and products:**

---

**Outcome/Decisions:**

EUROSYSTEM ANALYSIS – GENERAL INFORMATION						
			Process	User Interaction	Business Data Definition	Non-functional Requirements
CENTRAL LIQUIDITY MANAGEMENT (CLM)	GENERAL	CLM Payment Order				
		CLM Liquidity Transfer Order				
		CLM Liquidity Reservation	X			
	CENTRAL BANK SERVICES	Modify Credit Line				
		Connected Payments				
		Overnight Deposit				
		Marginal Lending				
		Minimum Reserve Management				
		EoD General Ledger Files				
	REAL-TIME GROSS SETTLEMENT (RTGS)	GENERAL	RTGS Payment Order			
Queue Management						
RTGS Liquidity Transfer Order						
RTGS Liquidity Reservation			X			
RTGS Services for Ancillary Systems (AS)						
	CB SERVICES					
COG EN R		ESMIG				

		CRDM				
		Business Day				
		User Roles and Access				
		Information and Reporting				
		Data Warehouse Services				
	<b>CENTRAL BANK SERVICES</b>	Billing				
		Legal Archiving				
		Contingency Settlement				
	<b>4CB internal categories</b>	Operational Tools				
		Automation				

Impact on major documentation		
Document	Chapter	Change
Impacted UDFS chapter	<p><b>RTGS:</b>            12.2.7.3 The message in business context            12.2.16.3 The message in business context            13.1 Index of validation rules and error codes</p> <p><b>CLM:</b>            12.2.9.3 The message in business context            14.2 Index of validation rules and error codes</p>	<p>All Chapter 13.1 : Validation rules and the respective impacted XPathes with ID "IV00260" (error code D001, referring to financial BICs only), which are indicated in the attached Excel list will be switched to "IV00320" (error code D008, referring to financial or nonfinancial BICs), i.e. the validation rule "IV00260" will disappear.</p> <p>Chapter 14.2: All validation rules and the respective impacted XPathes with ID "IV00260" (error code D001, referring to financial BICs only), which are indicated in the attached Excel list will be switched to "IV00320" (error code D008, referring to financial or nonfinancial BICs), i.e. the validation rule "IV00260" will disappear.</p>
Additional deliveries for Message Specification/ MyStandards	Adaptation of above mentioned message examples on MyS	
UHB		
External training materials		
Other		

documentations			
Links with other requests			
Links	Reference		Title
<b>OVERVIEW OF THE IMPACT OF THE REQUEST ON THE T2SYSTEM AND ON THE PROJECT</b>			
Summary of functional, technical, operational, stakeholder, financial and interoperability impacts			
<b>CLM / RTGS:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update of validation rules</li> <li>• Update of UDFS</li> <li>• Update of message examples on MyS</li> <li>• Adaptations on the data model</li> </ul>			
Summary of dependencies			
No impact on T2S, ECMS and TIPS			
Summary of project risk			
None			
Security analysis			
No potentially adverse effect was identified during the security assessment.			