

# Discussion of "Financial Vulnerabilities and Monetary Policy"

Tobias Adrian and Fernando Duarte

By Isabel Correia, Banco de Portugal, Catolica-Lisbon, CEPR

Madrid, May 2017

- Short description of the set-up
- A "small" deviation
- Back to the this paper: optimal monetary policy
- What's the difference with the presented results?

# The set-up

- Standard households
- Standard technologies and goods market structure
- "Friction": assets hold by households are restricted
  - banks should intermediate between firms and households
  - households can hold deposits and bank's equity
  - banks with a particular objective, a particular constraint and subject to shocks

## A small deviation: a model with flexible prices

- Identical households and firms but flexible prices
- Continuum of intermediate goods, indexed by  $i \in [0, 1]$ .

$$Y_t = \left[ \int_0^1 Y_t(i)^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} di \right]^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}}, \theta > 1.$$

Demand function for each intermediate input:

$$Y_t(i) = \left( \frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\epsilon} Y_t.$$

- $P_t$  is the price level

$$P_t = \left[ \int P_t(i)^{1-\epsilon} di \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

$$Y_t(i) \leq AN_t(i)$$

FOC:

$$P_t(i) = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon - 1} \frac{W_t}{A}$$

The price level

$$P_t(i) = P_t = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon - 1} \frac{W_t}{A}$$

Flexibel price equilibrium (FPE):

$$Y_t(i) = Y_t = AN_t = C_t$$

$$\frac{N_t^\xi}{C_t^{-\gamma}} = \frac{A}{(\epsilon-1)}$$

$$N_t = N^{FP} = \left( \frac{A^{1-\gamma}}{\frac{\epsilon}{(\epsilon-1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi+\gamma}}$$

$$C^{FP} = Y^{FP} = \left( \frac{A^{1+\xi}}{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi+\gamma}}$$



## Prices and returns FPE:

- $D_t$  cost one unit of good and will pay  $R_t$  goods in every state of  $t + 1$  :

$$R_t e^{-\beta} E_t \left[ \left( \frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} \right)^{-\gamma} \right] = 1$$

$$R_t^{FP} e^{-\beta} = 1$$

Monetary policy:

- Short term nominal risk free rate  $1 + i_t$ :

$$(1 + i_t) e^{-\beta} E_t \left[ \left( \frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} \right)^{-\gamma} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right] = 1$$

$$\left(1 + i_t^{FP}\right) e^{-\beta} E_t \left[ \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right] = 1$$

- Monetary policy neutral with  $FP$ :
  - allocations independent of  $i$ ,  $E_t \left[ \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right]$  and  $P_t$ .
  - a given  $1 + i_t^{FP}$  determines  $\frac{1}{E_t \left[ \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right]}$ .
  - can be supported by very different paths for  $P_t$ .

- Take a particular one, so that:

$$P_t = P_{t+1} = P$$

$$e^{-\beta} (1 + i_t^{FP}) = 1$$

- Local determinacy:

$$(1 + i_t^{FP}) = e^{\beta} \left( \frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \right)^{\alpha}, \alpha < 1$$

## Back to this paper

- Calvo pricing as in Calvo (1983).
  - Every period, a firm is able to revise the price with probability  $1 - \alpha$

$$P_t^* = \frac{\epsilon}{(\epsilon - 1)} E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta_{t,j} \frac{W_{t+j}}{A},$$

$$\eta_{t,j} = \frac{(\alpha\beta)^j u_C(t+j) (P_{t+j})^{\theta-1} Y_{t+j}}{E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\alpha\beta)^j u_C(t+j) (P_{t+j})^{\theta-1} Y_{t+j}}$$

$$C_t = \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{t+1} \varpi_j \left( \frac{P_{t-j}^*}{P_t} \right)^{-\theta} \right]^{-1} AN_t = v_t AN_t$$

$\varpi_j$  : share of firms set prices  $j$  periods before,  $\varpi_j = (\alpha)^j(1 - \alpha)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t$ , and  $\varpi_{t+1} = (\alpha)^{t+1}$ , share of firms that have never set prices so far

- when monetary policy is such that

$$P_t = P_t^* = P_{t-1} = P$$

then

$$v_t = 1$$

- Calvo constraint is not bidding
- $FPE$  feasible in the implementable set

But:

- With Calvo pricing *FPE* allocations is the Second Best: mark-up distortion.
- So this is the optimal monetary policy:

$$e^{-\beta} (1 + i_t^{SB}) = 1$$

- Local determinacy:

$$(1 + i_t^{SB}) = e^{\beta} \left( \frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \right)^{\alpha}, \alpha < 1$$



## The results of this article:

- The solution that is presented is the one where, due to deviation from this rule, monetary policy with Calvo pricing creates volatility in this economy