Discussion of *Globalization and Political Structure*by Gancia, Ponzetto and Ventura

Graziella Bertocchi

University of Modena and Reggio Emilia and CEPR

ESSIM, May 2017

 Alesina and Spolaore establish that a political structure (number and size of nations) is determined by a trade-off between the benefits of large countries and the cost of heterogeneity

- Alesina and Spolaore establish that a political structure (number and size of nations) is determined by a trade-off between the benefits of large countries and the cost of heterogeneity
- Implications:

- Alesina and Spolaore establish that a political structure (number and size of nations) is determined by a trade-off between the benefits of large countries and the cost of heterogeneity
- Implications:
 - the number of countries increases in economic integration

- Alesina and Spolaore establish that a political structure (number and size of nations) is determined by a trade-off between the benefits of large countries and the cost of heterogeneity
- Implications:
 - the number of countries increases in economic integration
 - democratization leads to an increase in the number of countries

- Alesina and Spolaore establish that a political structure (number and size of nations) is determined by a trade-off between the benefits of large countries and the cost of heterogeneity
- Implications:
 - the number of countries increases in economic integration
 - democratization leads to an increase in the number of countries
- Alesina, Spolaore and Wacziarg add trade: when borders become less of an impediment to trade, the number of countries increases

- Alesina and Spolaore establish that a political structure (number and size of nations) is determined by a trade-off between the benefits of large countries and the cost of heterogeneity
- Implications:
 - the number of countries increases in economic integration
 - democratization leads to an increase in the number of countries
- Alesina, Spolaore and Wacziarg add trade: when borders become less of an impediment to trade, the number of countries increases
- Limitations:

- Alesina and Spolaore establish that a political structure (number and size of nations) is determined by a trade-off between the benefits of large countries and the cost of heterogeneity
- Implications:
 - the number of countries increases in economic integration
 - democratization leads to an increase in the number of countries
- Alesina, Spolaore and Wacziarg add trade: when borders become less of an impediment to trade, the number of countries increases
- Limitations:
 - missing explanation of the initial decline, only of the subsequent rise, in the number of countries

PRESENT CONTRIBUTION

 This paper expands the analysis of globalization to fully account for the above stylized facts

PRESENT CONTRIBUTION

- This paper expands the analysis of globalization to fully account for the above stylized facts
- A model is followed by narratives focusing on a few historical episodes

• Localities consist of a group of people sharing common preferences and inhabiting a particular territory

- Localities consist of a group of people sharing common preferences and inhabiting a particular territory
- Globalization as exogenous technological change that gradually removes trade costs

- Localities consist of a group of people sharing common preferences and inhabiting a particular territory
- Globalization as exogenous technological change that gradually removes trade costs
- Governments provide public services and regulate markets

- Localities consist of a group of people sharing common preferences and inhabiting a particular territory
- Globalization as exogenous technological change that gradually removes trade costs
- Governments provide public services and regulate markets
- A political structure consists of two partitions of localities into governments: a public-service partition P and a regulation partition R

- Localities consist of a group of people sharing common preferences and inhabiting a particular territory
- Globalization as exogenous technological change that gradually removes trade costs
- Governments provide public services and regulate markets
- A political structure consists of two partitions of localities into governments: a public-service partition P and a regulation partition R
 - ullet If P=R, the world has a single-level governance structure

- Localities consist of a group of people sharing common preferences and inhabiting a particular territory
- Globalization as exogenous technological change that gradually removes trade costs
- Governments provide public services and regulate markets
- A political structure consists of two partitions of localities into governments: a public-service partition P and a regulation partition R
 - \bullet If P = R, the world has a single-level governance structure
 - If P in R, the world has a two-level governance structure

• An equilibrium political structure is derived under:

- An equilibrium political structure is derived under:
 - a law of diplomacy scenario

- An equilibrium political structure is derived under:
 - a law of diplomacy scenario
 - a war and empire scenario

- An equilibrium political structure is derived under:
 - a law of diplomacy scenario
 - a war and empire scenario
 - accounting for geography and distance

- An equilibrium political structure is derived under:
 - a law of diplomacy scenario
 - a war and empire scenario
 - · accounting for geography and distance
- In stage 1, the political response to globalization consists of removing borders by increasing country size and thus reducing the number of countries

- An equilibrium political structure is derived under:
 - a law of diplomacy scenario
 - a war and empire scenario
 - accounting for geography and distance
- In stage 1, the political response to globalization consists of removing borders by increasing country size and thus reducing the number of countries
- In stage 2, the response is to remove the cost of borders by creating international economic unions, thus reducing country size and increasing the number of countries

- An equilibrium political structure is derived under:
 - a law of diplomacy scenario
 - a war and empire scenario
 - accounting for geography and distance
- In stage 1, the political response to globalization consists of removing borders by increasing country size and thus reducing the number of countries
- In stage 2, the response is to remove the cost of borders by creating international economic unions, thus reducing country size and increasing the number of countries
- The incentive to build empires increases with globalization, but the formation of unions removes this incentive

COMMENTS

 The model is tractable enough to capture the essence of the question and at the same time to provide explicit and intuitive solutions

COMMENTS

- The model is tractable enough to capture the essence of the question and at the same time to provide explicit and intuitive solutions
- The narratives of historical episodes offer persuasive support to the theoretical results

COMMENTS

- The model is tractable enough to capture the essence of the question and at the same time to provide explicit and intuitive solutions
- The narratives of historical episodes offer persuasive support to the theoretical results
- In the following I will identify a number of issues worth of further discussion, starting with two preliminary ones

• Focus on globalization as trade

- Focus on globalization as trade
- Other dimensions of globalization: migration, investment, technology transfer

- Focus on globalization as trade
- Other dimensions of globalization: migration, investment, technology transfer
- Moreover, trade is not only affected by globalization or, more narrowly, by transport costs

- Focus on globalization as trade
- Other dimensions of globalization: migration, investment, technology transfer
- Moreover, trade is not only affected by globalization or, more narrowly, by transport costs
- Shifts in either import or export supply, as a result of population growth, capital accumulation, technological change, etc. can also lead to trade expansion, or even reduction (O'Rourke and Williamson)

• Governments provide public services and economic regulations

- Governments provide public services and economic regulations
- Local preferences are assumed to differ with respect to public services but not economic regulations

- Governments provide public services and economic regulations
- Local preferences are assumed to differ with respect to public services but not economic regulations
- The latter assumption is justified with the notion that market-enabling economic regulation aims at increasing efficiency

- Governments provide public services and economic regulations
- Local preferences are assumed to differ with respect to public services but not economic regulations
- The latter assumption is justified with the notion that market-enabling economic regulation aims at increasing efficiency
- Efficiency as a goal is supposedly shared by people with different distributive preferences, unlike public services which can be a focus of political tension

- Governments provide public services and economic regulations
- Local preferences are assumed to differ with respect to public services but not economic regulations
- The latter assumption is justified with the notion that market-enabling economic regulation aims at increasing efficiency
- Efficiency as a goal is supposedly shared by people with different distributive preferences, unlike public services which can be a focus of political tension
- However, trade carries redistributive effects and is consequently a main issue on political agendas

CAUSALITY

 Are there any other contemporaneous factors that may play a role for political structure?

- Are there any other contemporaneous factors that may play a role for political structure?
- Causality or correlation between globalization and political structure?

- Are there any other contemporaneous factors that may play a role for political structure?
- Causality or correlation between globalization and political structure?
- The same criticism applies also to Alesina and Spolaore: Admittedly
 it is hard in this sort of models to disentangle cause and effect
 because of the interdependency of the underlying, often
 co-determined processes

- Are there any other contemporaneous factors that may play a role for political structure?
- Causality or correlation between globalization and political structure?
- The same criticism applies also to Alesina and Spolaore: Admittedly
 it is hard in this sort of models to disentangle cause and effect
 because of the interdependency of the underlying, often
 co-determined processes
- In particular, state formation may be co-determined not only with globalization, but also with internal democratization, military expenditure, and attitudes toward colonization

- Are there any other contemporaneous factors that may play a role for political structure?
- Causality or correlation between globalization and political structure?
- The same criticism applies also to Alesina and Spolaore: Admittedly
 it is hard in this sort of models to disentangle cause and effect
 because of the interdependency of the underlying, often
 co-determined processes
- In particular, state formation may be co-determined not only with globalization, but also with internal democratization, military expenditure, and attitudes toward colonization
- Further details/examples follow below

• Can extensions of the analysis of democracy explain also the initial decline in the number of countries?

- Can extensions of the analysis of democracy explain also the initial decline in the number of countries?
- In the initial stage of democratization, the fact that the middle class takes away power from the elites, which were attached to more local interests (land, kingdoms), may induce a reduction in the number of countries

- Can extensions of the analysis of democracy explain also the initial decline in the number of countries?
- In the initial stage of democratization, the fact that the middle class takes away power from the elites, which were attached to more local interests (land, kingdoms), may induce a reduction in the number of countries
- At the same time, empire building may imply an increase in military technology/spending and conflict

- Can extensions of the analysis of democracy explain also the initial decline in the number of countries?
- In the initial stage of democratization, the fact that the middle class takes away power from the elites, which were attached to more local interests (land, kingdoms), may induce a reduction in the number of countries
- At the same time, empire building may imply an increase in military technology/spending and conflict
- In the subsequent stage of democratization, when the masses gain power, an increase in the number of countries can occur together with a decline of military technology/spending – in favor of redistributive spending – and conflict – e.g. for humanitarian reasons

TRADE AND DEMOCRACY

• Interaction between democracy and trade

TRADE AND DEMOCRACY

- Interaction between democracy and trade
- Franchise extension should lead to more liberal trade policies in countries where workers gain from free trade, and to more protectionist policies in countries where workers benefit from the imposition of tariffs and quotas (O'Rourke and Taylor)

TRADE AND DEMOCRACY

- Interaction between democracy and trade
- Franchise extension should lead to more liberal trade policies in countries where workers gain from free trade, and to more protectionist policies in countries where workers benefit from the imposition of tariffs and quotas (O'Rourke and Taylor)
- Exposure to trade affects voting share of far-right parties (Malgouyres)

 Military technology itself is not exogenous to trade, through various channels

- Military technology itself is not exogenous to trade, through various channels
- Military force may be built as needed for trade and empire

- Military technology itself is not exogenous to trade, through various channels
- Military force may be built as needed for trade and empire
- Widespread belief that trade makes war less likely

- Military technology itself is not exogenous to trade, through various channels
- Military force may be built as needed for trade and empire
- Widespread belief that trade makes war less likely
- One objection is that trade makes countries dependent on others, which implies vulnerability and a challenge to national security, which can trigger conflict

- Military technology itself is not exogenous to trade, through various channels
- Military force may be built as needed for trade and empire
- Widespread belief that trade makes war less likely
- One objection is that trade makes countries dependent on others, which implies vulnerability and a challenge to national security, which can trigger conflict
- World War I erupted at a time of unprecedented globalization (Bonfatti and O'Rourke)

 An assumption is that, for a colony, being part of an empire is a tragedy

- An assumption is that, for a colony, being part of an empire is a tragedy
- Long standing debate on the economic implications of colonization for colonies

- An assumption is that, for a colony, being part of an empire is a tragedy
- Long standing debate on the economic implications of colonization for colonies
- The assumption clearly does not apply to white, or settler, colonies such as the US and Canada (Acemoglu and Robinson)

- An assumption is that, for a colony, being part of an empire is a tragedy
- Long standing debate on the economic implications of colonization for colonies
- The assumption clearly does not apply to white, or settler, colonies such as the US and Canada (Acemoglu and Robinson)
- Even for exploitative cases, such as Africa and South Asia, without colonization they may have turned out to be substantially less developed and more closed to international trade (Bertocchi and Canova)

MORE ON COLONIES

 One of the results is that a colonial war is successful if and only if the size of the metropolis is large enough relative to that of the colonies

MORE ON COLONIES

- One of the results is that a colonial war is successful if and only if the size of the metropolis is large enough relative to that of the colonies
- But what about England vs the British Empire, or Belgium vs its African colonies?

• Where do trade wars fit in this model?

- Where do trade wars fit in this model?
- What about unilateral embargos?

- Where do trade wars fit in this model?
- What about unilateral embargos?
- The former can be interpreted as trade policies applied by symmetric countries or groups of countries (unions)

- Where do trade wars fit in this model?
- What about unilateral embargos?
- The former can be interpreted as trade policies applied by symmetric countries or groups of countries (unions)
- The latter are trade policies applied by a country, usually by a union, to a single country of relatively small size in terms of world trade volumes