

Discussion of "Fiscal Unions" by Emmanuel Farhi and Ivan Werning

Rody Manuelli

Washington University in St. Louis and Federal Reserve Bank
of St. Louis

ADEMU Workshop on Risk-Sharing Mechanisms for the
European Union, May 2017

The Paper

- ▶ Farhi-Werning study a model that has the following key features:
 - ▶ Some prices are sticky (do not react to local shocks).
 - ▶ Markets may or may not be complete.
- ▶ The paper concludes that:
 - ▶ Even if markets are complete there is a role for a fiscal union (taxes to correct “externalities”)
 - ▶ If financial markets are incomplete a fiscal union that transfers income across countries can attain efficient allocations.

Discussion

- ▶ Revisit the setup of the model to clarify who can do what and who should do whatever needs to be done.

Discussion

- ▶ Revisit the setup of the model to clarify who can do what and who should do whatever needs to be done.
- ▶ Emphasis on the role of missing markets ... and missing governments. Whatever assumptions we make about governments and markets has a large impact on the design of a union.

Discussion

- ▶ Revisit the setup of the model to clarify who can do what and who should do whatever needs to be done.
- ▶ Emphasis on the role of missing markets ... and missing governments. Whatever assumptions we make about governments and markets has a large impact on the design of a union.
- ▶ Key question: Is a Fiscal Union necessary if markets are complete and National Governments are not incompetent?

Discussion

- ▶ Revisit the setup of the model to clarify who can do what and who should do whatever needs to be done.
- ▶ Emphasis on the role of missing markets ... and missing governments. Whatever assumptions we make about governments and markets has a large impact on the design of a union.
- ▶ Key question: Is a Fiscal Union necessary if markets are complete and National Governments are not incompetent?
 - ▶ Tentative (wrong?) conclusion: The role of a fiscal union is quite limited.

Discussion

- ▶ Revisit the setup of the model to clarify who can do what and who should do whatever needs to be done.
- ▶ Emphasis on the role of missing markets ... and missing governments. Whatever assumptions we make about governments and markets has a large impact on the design of a union.
- ▶ Key question: Is a Fiscal Union necessary if markets are complete and National Governments are not incompetent?
 - ▶ Tentative (wrong?) conclusion: The role of a fiscal union is quite limited.
 - ▶ If markets are incomplete then ...

Discussion

- ▶ Revisit the setup of the model to clarify who can do what and who should do whatever needs to be done.
- ▶ Emphasis on the role of missing markets ... and missing governments. Whatever assumptions we make about governments and markets has a large impact on the design of a union.
- ▶ Key question: Is a Fiscal Union necessary if markets are complete and National Governments are not incompetent?
 - ▶ Tentative (wrong?) conclusion: The role of a fiscal union is quite limited.
 - ▶ If markets are incomplete then ...
- ▶ Evidence from actual fiscal unions (U.S.)

Discussion

- ▶ Revisit the setup of the model to clarify who can do what and who should do whatever needs to be done.
- ▶ Emphasis on the role of missing markets ... and missing governments. Whatever assumptions we make about governments and markets has a large impact on the design of a union.
- ▶ Key question: Is a Fiscal Union necessary if markets are complete and National Governments are not incompetent?
 - ▶ Tentative (wrong?) conclusion: The role of a fiscal union is quite limited.
 - ▶ If markets are incomplete then ...
- ▶ Evidence from actual fiscal unions (U.S.)
- ▶ Some design issues.

The Institutions

- ▶ Farhi-Werning (FW):

The Institutions

- ▶ Farhi-Werning (FW):
 - ▶ Agents optimize subject to usual constraints and facing tax distorted prices.

The Institutions

- ▶ Farhi-Werning (FW):
 - ▶ Agents optimize subject to usual constraints and facing tax distorted prices.
 - ▶ A Fiscal Union makes some choices.

The Institutions

- ▶ Farhi-Werning (FW):
 - ▶ Agents optimize subject to usual constraints and facing tax distorted prices.
 - ▶ A Fiscal Union makes some choices.
- ▶ Question: Who chooses the national taxes (e.g. labor taxes) in their model? What taxes can be levied by the National Governments?

The Institutions

- ▶ Farhi-Werning (FW):
 - ▶ Agents optimize subject to usual constraints and facing tax distorted prices.
 - ▶ A Fiscal Union makes some choices.
- ▶ Question: Who chooses the national taxes (e.g. labor taxes) in their model? What taxes can be levied by the National Governments?
 - ▶ If the Union has a “better technology” to raise a (useful) tax ... then there is a role for the Union.

The Institutions

- ▶ Farhi-Werning (FW):
 - ▶ Agents optimize subject to usual constraints and facing tax distorted prices.
 - ▶ A Fiscal Union makes some choices.
- ▶ Question: Who chooses the national taxes (e.g. labor taxes) in their model? What taxes can be levied by the National Governments?
 - ▶ If the Union has a “better technology” to raise a (useful) tax ... then there is a role for the Union.
- ▶ The “better technology” approach applies to incomplete markets as well: If markets are incomplete (does it matter why?) and there is an institution with a technology to complete them we should use it.

A Simple Institutional Setting

- ▶ An alternative set of institutions (Kehoe-Pastorino (KP)) (My interpretation of (KP) ... don't blame them)

A Simple Institutional Setting

- ▶ An alternative set of institutions (Kehoe-Pastorino (KP)) (My interpretation of (KP) ... don't blame them)
 - ▶ Top Tier: Fiscal Union (benevolent): Can transfer income across countries and choose some taxes.

A Simple Institutional Setting

- ▶ An alternative set of institutions (Kehoe-Pastorino (KP)) (My interpretation of (KP) ... don't blame them)
 - ▶ Top Tier: Fiscal Union (benevolent): Can transfer income across countries and choose some taxes.
 - ▶ Second Tier: National Governments (benevolent): Can choose their taxes but not other countries' taxes and cannot transfer income across countries.

A Simple Institutional Setting

- ▶ An alternative set of institutions (Kehoe-Pastorino (KP)) (My interpretation of (KP) ... don't blame them)
 - ▶ Top Tier: Fiscal Union (benevolent): Can transfer income across countries and choose some taxes.
 - ▶ Second Tier: National Governments (benevolent): Can choose their taxes but not other countries' taxes and cannot transfer income across countries.
 - ▶ Third Tier: Individuals (boring): They optimize.

National Governments Can Tax Non-Traded Goods

- ▶ If markets are complete and the union **does not** care about redistribution then it should do nothing (my interpretation of (KP)):

$$\int D^i(s)Q(s)\pi(s)ds \leq \underbrace{\int \hat{T}^i(s)Q(s)\pi(s)ds}_{\text{No Redistribution} \rightarrow =0} \text{ (with transfers),}$$

National Governments Can Tax Non-Traded Goods

- ▶ If markets are complete and the union **does not** care about redistribution then it should do nothing (my interpretation of (KP)):

$$\int D^i(s)Q(s)\pi(s)ds \leq \underbrace{\int \hat{T}^i(s)Q(s)\pi(s)ds}_{\text{No Redistribution} \rightarrow =0} \text{ (with transfers),}$$

- ▶ A benevolent National Government chooses $\tau_{NT}^i(s)$ to “solve” the sticky price distortion:

$$-\frac{U_N^i(s)}{W^i(s)} = \frac{U_{CNT}^i(s)}{P_{NT}^i \underbrace{(1 + \tau_{NT}^i(s))}_{=0 \text{ in FW}}}$$

National Governments Can Tax Non-Traded Goods

- ▶ If markets are complete and the union **does not** care about redistribution then it should do nothing (my interpretation of (KP)):

$$\int D^i(s)Q(s)\pi(s)ds \leq \underbrace{\int \hat{T}^i(s)Q(s)\pi(s)ds}_{\text{No Redistribution} \rightarrow =0} \text{ (with transfers),}$$

- ▶ A benevolent National Government chooses $\tau_{NT}^i(s)$ to “solve” the sticky price distortion:

$$-\frac{U_N^i(s)}{W^i(s)} = \frac{U_{CNT}^i(s)}{P_{NT}^i \underbrace{(1 + \tau_{NT}^i(s))}_{=0 \text{ in FW}}}$$

- ▶ If markets are incomplete the Fiscal Union just mimics the complete markets allocation.

National Governments Can Tax Non-Traded Goods

- ▶ When markets are complete there is no need for portfolio taxes (and there are no transfers). The relevant condition is with $\tau_D^i(s) = 0$ (but the National Government could have chosen to be different from zero)

$$\frac{U_{C_T}^i(s)}{U_{C_T}^i(s')} = \underbrace{\frac{Q(s)P_T(s)}{Q(s')P_T(s')}}_{\text{Same all countries}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1 + \tau_D^i(s)}{1 + \tau_D^i(s')}}_{\text{Set } =1} = \frac{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s)}{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s')}.$$

National Governments Can Tax Non-Traded Goods

- ▶ When markets are complete there is no need for portfolio taxes (and there are no transfers). The relevant condition is with $\tau_D^i(s) = 0$ (but the National Government could have chosen to be different from zero)

$$\frac{U_{C_T}^i(s)}{U_{C_T}^i(s')} = \underbrace{\frac{Q(s)P_T(s)}{Q(s')P_T(s')}}_{\text{Same all countries}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1 + \tau_D^i(s)}{1 + \tau_D^i(s')}}_{\text{Set =1}} = \frac{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s)}{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s')}.$$

- ▶ Thus, when the National Government **can** tax non-traded goods

$$\frac{U_{C_T}^i(s)}{U_{C_T}^i(s')} = \frac{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s)}{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s')}.$$

National Governments Cannot Tax Non-Traded Goods: Complete Markets

- ▶ Formally, the National Government is restricted to $\tau_{NT}^i(s) = 0$. It faces an additional constraint of the form.

$$-\frac{U_N^i(s)}{W^i(s)} = \frac{U_{CNT}^i(s)}{P_{NT}^i}$$

The problem solved by the National Government has **changed**. The solution will change as well.

National Governments Cannot Tax Non-Traded Goods: Complete Markets

- ▶ Formally, the National Government is restricted to $\tau_{NT}^i(s) = 0$. It faces an additional constraint of the form.

$$-\frac{U_N^i(s)}{W^i(s)} = \frac{U_{CNT}^i(s)}{P_{NT}^i}$$

The problem solved by the National Government has **changed**. The solution will change as well.

- ▶ From standard optimal taxation exercises we know that, in general, the government will choose to distort **every margin**. In particular, it is not obvious that the National Government will choose zero taxes on portfolios in every state. Thus, it does not follow that

$$\frac{U_{CT}^i(s)}{U_{CT}^i(s')} = \frac{U_{CT}^{\prime i}(s)}{U_{CT}^{\prime i}(s')}.$$

National Governments Cannot Tax Non-Traded Goods: Complete Markets

- ▶ FW show that constrained efficiency requires

$$\frac{U_{C_T}^i(s)}{U_{C_T}^i(s')} \times \frac{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s)}{p^i(s)} \tau^i(s)\right]}{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s')}{p^i(s')} \tau^i(s')\right]} = \frac{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s)}{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s')} \times \frac{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s)}{p^i(s)} \tau^i(s)\right]}{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s')}{p^i(s')} \tau^i(s')\right]}$$

and “if portfolio taxes are not employed” then

$$\frac{U_{C_T}^i(s)}{U_{C_T}^i(s')} = \frac{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s)}{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s')}.$$

National Governments Cannot Tax Non-Traded Goods: Complete Markets

- ▶ FW show that constrained efficiency requires

$$\frac{U_{C_T}^i(s)}{U_{C_T}^i(s')} \times \frac{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s)}{p^i(s)} \tau^i(s)\right]}{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s')}{p^i(s')} \tau^i(s')\right]} = \frac{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s)}{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s')} \times \frac{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s)}{p^i(s)} \tau^i(s)\right]}{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s')}{p^i(s')} \tau^i(s')\right]}$$

and “if portfolio taxes are not employed” then

$$\frac{U_{C_T}^i(s)}{U_{C_T}^i(s')} = \frac{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s)}{U_{C_T}^{i'}(s')}.$$

- ▶ However the National Government will choose to distort all margins ... and it can levy portfolio taxes ... so

$$\frac{U_{C_T}^i(s)}{U_{C_T}^i(s')} \times \underbrace{\frac{1 + \tau_D^i(s')}{1 + \tau_D^i(s)}}_{\text{Choose this optimally}} = \underbrace{\frac{Q(s)P_T(s)}{Q(s')P_T(s')}}_{\text{Same all countries}}$$

National Governments Cannot Tax Non-Traded Goods: Complete Markets

- ▶ If the National Government is benevolent and has access to portfolio taxes then there is a Nash Equilibrium in which it chooses domestic portfolio taxes so as to implement the no-redistribution constrained efficient allocation (Kehoe-Pastorino).

$$\frac{1 + \tau_D^i(s')}{1 + \tau_D^i(s)} = \frac{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s)}{p^i(s)} \tau^i(s) \right]}{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s')}{p^i(s')} \tau^i(s') \right]}$$

National Governments Cannot Tax Non-Traded Goods: Complete Markets

- ▶ If the National Government is benevolent and has access to portfolio taxes then there is a Nash Equilibrium in which it chooses domestic portfolio taxes so as to implement the no-redistribution constrained efficient allocation (Kehoe-Pastorino).

$$\frac{1 + \tau_D^i(s')}{1 + \tau_D^i(s)} = \frac{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s)}{p^i(s)} \tau^i(s) \right]}{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s')}{p^i(s')} \tau^i(s') \right]}$$

- ▶ There is no externality ... the result in FW is driven by the assumption that the Fiscal Union can levy portfolio taxes when the National Government does not.

National Governments Cannot Tax Non-Traded Goods: Complete Markets

- ▶ If the National Government is benevolent and has access to portfolio taxes then there is a Nash Equilibrium in which it chooses domestic portfolio taxes so as to implement the no-redistribution constrained efficient allocation (Kehoe-Pastorino).

$$\frac{1 + \tau_D^i(s')}{1 + \tau_D^i(s)} = \frac{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s)}{p^i(s)} \tau^i(s) \right]}{\left[1 + \frac{\alpha^i(s')}{p^i(s')} \tau^i(s') \right]}$$

- ▶ There is no externality ... the result in FW is driven by the assumption that the Fiscal Union can levy portfolio taxes when the National Government does not.
- ▶ The result does not hold if the Fiscal Union wants to redistribute income.

Other Cases in Which a Fiscal Union is Necessary

- ▶ Are there situations in which there is a role for a Fiscal Union? Yes.

Other Cases in Which a Fiscal Union is Necessary

- ▶ Are there situations in which there is a role for a Fiscal Union? Yes.
 - ▶ Restrictions on taxes. (The union has a better technology.)

Other Cases in Which a Fiscal Union is Necessary

- ▶ Are there situations in which there is a role for a Fiscal Union? Yes.
 - ▶ Restrictions on taxes. (The union has a better technology.)
 - ▶ Local governments not being benevolent. (The union cares more about the citizens of Spain than the Spanish government.)

Other Cases in Which a Fiscal Union is Necessary

- ▶ Are there situations in which there is a role for a Fiscal Union? Yes.
 - ▶ Restrictions on taxes. (The union has a better technology.)
 - ▶ Local governments not being benevolent. (The union cares more about the citizens of Spain than the Spanish government.)
 - ▶ The union can implement an income redistribution program.

Fiscal Unions in Practice: United States

- ▶ There are some goods and transfers provided by the Federal government (e.g. Defense, Transportation Infrastructure, Pensions, Medicare, Medicaid, some educational expenses) that are unrelated to shocks.
- ▶ There are some transfers that depend on choices about harmonization (e.g. subsidies to special needs children).
- ▶ Almost no transfers to the states before the mid 1930s ... and in the middle of that period there was a civil war (and the gold standard).
- ▶ Unemployment benefits are determined (and financed) locally.
 - ▶ When a state runs out of money on its unemployment fund it has to borrow from the Federal government and repay with interest.
 - ▶ Extended unemployment benefits. Partially financed by the Federal government and triggered by large shocks (often economy wide).
- ▶ These are transfers that are targeted to individuals and not States.

Fiscal Unions in Practice: United States

- ▶ State National Guard: Mostly financed by the Federal government. When activated by the state (can act as a police force) the costs are paid for by the state.
- ▶ Disaster aid (FEMA): Transfers contingent on occurrence of a natural disaster but not clear they are zero net present value.
- ▶ Subsidized insurance (flood, hurricane, crop): Transfers are not zero net present value.
- ▶ Overall:
 - ▶ Not easy to “see” the type of state contingent transfers from the Federal Government to the States in the data.
 - ▶ Identification: If some transfers were not provided by the Federal Government what markets would develop? (e.g. FEMA)

Transfers and Governance: Data

- ▶ Story: Citizens face weak incentives to monitor governments that are funded by transfers (relative to taxes).
- ▶ U.S.: Ratio of (marginal) state spending from grants significantly higher than spending relative to state income.
- ▶ Transfer dependent local governments face weaker incentives to increase taxes to provide valuable public goods (e.g. Russia, India, Mexico).
- ▶ Positive correlation between transfer-dependent state in the U.S. and number of convictions of public employees for abuse of public office.
- ▶ Transfer dependent provinces in Argentina display higher level of corruption and lower levels of “democratic contestation.”

Grants and Governance: Causality?

- ▶ In the U.S. (somewhat exogenous changes) in educational grants seem to crowd out local taxation in a short period.
- ▶ In Brazil (using discontinuities in the allocation) studies find that higher transfers are associated with reelection of the mayor's party and reduced efficiency in the collection of municipal taxes.
- ▶ Evidence is not strong (identification is a serious problem) but suggestive that moral hazard should be one element to take into account in the design of transfers.

Some Design Issues

- ▶ Best candidate for a union-wide transfer is unemployment insurance (although not clearly related to a monetary union). This is a case of missing markets.
- ▶ In the design of the U.S. unemployment insurance there is a hint that the Federal Government is concerned about moral hazard on the part of the State Governments!
- ▶ I suspect that when thinking about a Fiscal Union concerns about:
 - ▶ Moral hazard are very important.
 - ▶ Strategic behavior (large and small countries) should also play a role.