

Discussion of

Wealth Effects on Consumption: Microeconomic Estimates from a New Survey of Household Finances

by Olympia Bover

Pedro Albarran
Universidad Carlos III

Conference on *Household Finances and Housing Wealth*
Banco de España, 24-25 April 2007

Summary

- ▶ Estimates of a causal effect of housing wealth on consumption
 - ▶ new *micro* data from Spain
 - ▶ results *consistent* with a precautionary component behind wealth effect
- ▶ IV techniques to control for endogeneity in wealth
 - ▶ lagged house prices at the municipality (or district) level
 - ▶ dummies indicating if real estates were inherited
- ▶ Flexible control for age, household composition, city size and household head (and partner's) characteristics.

$$C = \alpha(X) + \beta(X)W + U$$

$$E[U|X, Z] = 0$$

Summary

- ▶ Estimates of a causal effect of housing wealth on consumption
 - ▶ new *micro* data from Spain
 - ▶ results *consistent* with a precautionary component behind wealth effect
- ▶ IV techniques to control for endogeneity in wealth
 - ▶ lagged house prices at the municipality (or district) level
 - ▶ dummies indicating if real estates were inherited
- ▶ Flexible control for age, household composition, city size and household head (and partner's) characteristics.

$$C = \alpha(X) + \beta(X)W + U$$

$$E[U|X, Z] = 0$$

Summary

- ▶ Estimates of a causal effect of housing wealth on consumption
 - ▶ new *micro* data from Spain
 - ▶ results *consistent* with a precautionary component behind wealth effect
- ▶ IV techniques to control for endogeneity in wealth
 - ▶ lagged house prices at the municipality (or district) level
 - ▶ dummies indicating if real estates were inherited
- ▶ Flexible control for age, household composition, city size and household head (and partner's) characteristics.

$$C = \alpha(X) + \beta(X)W + U$$

$$E[U|X, Z] = 0$$

Estimation Strategies

1. Non-parametric Wald Estimator

- ▶ allows a different wealth effect, $\beta(X)$, for each group
- ▶ flexible first stage, but
- ▶ using only a binary instrument

2. Linear IV

- ▶ estimates an overall wealth effect and effects for different *age group*
- ▶ a *unique* first stage prediction of wealth on instruments and controls

3. 2SLS matching estimator

- ▶ identifies *only* an overall effect $\beta^* = E[\beta(X)]$
- ▶ *flexible* first stage (prediction of wealth specific to each X)

Estimation Strategies

1. Non-parametric Wald Estimator

- ▶ allows a different wealth effect, $\beta(X)$, for each group
- ▶ flexible first stage, but
- ▶ using only a binary instrument

2. Linear IV

- ▶ estimates an overall wealth effect and effects for different *age group*
- ▶ a *unique* first stage prediction of wealth on instruments and controls

3. 2SLS matching estimator

- ▶ identifies *only* an overall effect $\beta^* = E[\beta(X)]$
- ▶ *flexible* first stage (prediction of wealth specific to each X)

Estimation Strategies

1. Non-parametric Wald Estimator

- ▶ allows a different wealth effect, $\beta(X)$, for each group
- ▶ flexible first stage, but
- ▶ using only a binary instrument

2. Linear IV

- ▶ estimates an overall wealth effect and effects for different *age group*
- ▶ a *unique* first stage prediction of wealth on instruments and controls

3. 2SLS matching estimator

- ▶ identifies *only* an overall effect $\beta^* = E[\beta(X)]$
- ▶ *flexible* first stage (prediction of wealth specific to each X)

Estimation Strategies

1. Non-parametric Wald Estimator

- ▶ allows a different wealth effect, $\beta(X)$, for each group
- ▶ flexible first stage, but
- ▶ using only a binary instrument

2. Linear IV

- ▶ estimates an overall wealth effect and effects for different *age group*
- ▶ a *unique* first stage prediction of wealth on instruments and controls

3. 2SLS matching estimator

- ▶ identifies *only* an overall effect $\beta^* = E[\beta(X)]$
- ▶ *flexible* first stage (prediction of wealth specific to each X)

Results

Overall estimates: MPC out of housing wealth is 0.015

- ▶ for main residence: 0.02
- ▶ for other real estates: 0.01

1. Non-parametric Wald Estimator

- ▶ for young, high permanent income household: 0.02-0.04
- ▶ higher (up to 0.07) for older households (not precise) and in small towns

2. Linear IV

- ▶ Pattern by age (consistent with precautionary saving): largest effect (0.06) for 35-44

3. 2SLS matching estimator

- ▶ confirms that previous findings are robust to observed heterogeneity

Results

Overall estimates: MPC out of housing wealth is 0.015

- ▶ for main residence: 0.02
- ▶ for other real estates: 0.01

1. Non-parametric Wald Estimator

- ▶ for young, high permanent income household: 0.02-0.04
- ▶ higher (up to 0.07) for older households (not precise) and in small towns

2. Linear IV

- ▶ Pattern by age (consistent with precautionary saving): largest effect (0.06) for 35-44

3. 2SLS matching estimator

- ▶ confirms that previous findings are robust to observed heterogeneity

Results

Overall estimates: MPC out of housing wealth is 0.015

- ▶ for main residence: 0.02
- ▶ for other real estates: 0.01

1. Non-parametric Wald Estimator

- ▶ for young, high permanent income household: 0.02-0.04
- ▶ higher (up to 0.07) for older households (not precise) and in small towns

2. Linear IV

- ▶ Pattern by age (consistent with precautionary saving): largest effect (0.06) for 35-44

3. 2SLS matching estimator

- ▶ confirms that previous findings are robust to observed heterogeneity

Results

Overall estimates: MPC out of housing wealth is 0.015

- ▶ for main residence: 0.02
- ▶ for other real estates: 0.01

1. Non-parametric Wald Estimator

- ▶ for young, high permanent income household: 0.02-0.04
- ▶ higher (up to 0.07) for older households (not precise) and in small towns

2. Linear IV

- ▶ Pattern by age (consistent with precautionary saving): largest effect (0.06) for 35-44

3. 2SLS matching estimator

- ▶ confirms that previous findings are robust to observed heterogeneity

Results

Overall estimates: MPC out of housing wealth is 0.015

- ▶ for main residence: 0.02
- ▶ for other real estates: 0.01

1. Non-parametric Wald Estimator

- ▶ for young, high permanent income household: 0.02-0.04
- ▶ higher (up to 0.07) for older households (not precise) and in small towns

2. Linear IV

- ▶ Pattern by age (consistent with precautionary saving): largest effect (0.06) for 35-44

3. 2SLS matching estimator

- ▶ confirms that previous findings are robust to observed heterogeneity

Comments

1. Concavity of Consumption Function

- ▶ Average MPC can be estimated using a linear specification $C = \alpha(X) + \beta(X)W + U$
- ▶ Alternatively, logarithmic specification allows to estimate wealth elasticity
- ▶ Polynomial specification can be used to reveal interesting patterns.
- ▶ In particular, as long as wealth dispersion varies with age, it is important not to focus only on the effect for “average” wealth.

Comments

1. Concavity of Consumption Function

- ▶ Average MPC can be estimated using a linear specification $C = \alpha(X) + \beta(X)W + U$
- ▶ Alternatively, logarithmic specification allows to estimate wealth elasticity
- ▶ Polynomial specification can be used to reveal interesting patterns.
- ▶ In particular, as long as wealth dispersion varies with age, it is important not to focus only on the effect for “average” wealth.

Comments

1. Concavity of Consumption Function

- ▶ Average MPC can be estimated using a linear specification $C = \alpha(X) + \beta(X)W + U$
- ▶ Alternatively, logarithmic specification allows to estimate wealth elasticity
- ▶ Polynomial specification can be used to reveal interesting patterns.
- ▶ In particular, as long as wealth dispersion varies with age, it is important not to focus only on the effect for “average” wealth.

Comments

1. Concavity of Consumption Function

- ▶ Average MPC can be estimated using a linear specification $C = \alpha(X) + \beta(X)W + U$
- ▶ Alternatively, logarithmic specification allows to estimate wealth elasticity
- ▶ Polynomial specification can be used to reveal interesting patterns.
- ▶ In particular, as long as wealth dispersion varies with age, it is important not to focus only on the effect for “average” wealth.

2. Validity of instruments

- ▶ First stage regressions and cross-sectional variability show that, even without exploiting time series variation
 - ▶ Potential endogeneity of (lagged) house prices due to selection of area: not important the longer the household live in the area
 - ▶ **but** could this explain the high value of MPC *just* for the group of 35-44 years old?
- ⇒ alternative story: young households use mortgage to buy the households *and* some other (nondurable) goods
- ▶ Suggestions:
 - ▶ check the results using only inheritance dummies as instruments
 - ▶ check the impact of wealth on separate components of consumption

2. Validity of instruments

- ▶ First stage regressions and cross-sectional variability show that, even without exploiting time series variation
 - ▶ Potential endogeneity of (lagged) house prices due to selection of area: not important the longer the household live in the area
 - ▶ **but** could this explain the high value of MPC *just* for the group of 35-44 years old?
- ⇒ alternative story: young households use mortgage to buy the households *and* some other (nondurable) goods
- ▶ Suggestions:
 - ▶ check the results using only inheritance dummies as instruments
 - ▶ check the impact of wealth on separate components of consumption

2. Validity of instruments

- ▶ First stage regressions and cross-sectional variability show that, even without exploiting time series variation
 - ▶ Potential endogeneity of (lagged) house prices due to selection of area: not important the longer the household live in the area
 - ▶ **but** could this explain the high value of MPC *just* for the group of 35-44 years old?
- ⇒ alternative story: young households use mortgage to buy the households *and* some other (nondurable) goods
- ▶ Suggestions:
 - ▶ check the results using only inheritance dummies as instruments
 - ▶ check the impact of wealth on separate components of consumption

2. Validity of instruments

- ▶ First stage regressions and cross-sectional variability show that, even without exploiting time series variation
 - ▶ Potential endogeneity of (lagged) house prices due to selection of area: not important the longer the household live in the area
 - ▶ **but** could this explain the high value of MPC *just* for the group of 35-44 years old?
- ⇒ alternative story: young households use mortgage to buy the households *and* some other (nondurable) goods
- ▶ Suggestions:
 - ▶ check the results using only inheritance dummies as instruments
 - ▶ check the impact of wealth on separate components of consumption

2. Validity of instruments

- ▶ First stage regressions and cross-sectional variability show that, even without exploiting time series variation
 - ▶ Potential endogeneity of (lagged) house prices due to selection of area: not important the longer the household live in the area
 - ▶ **but** could this explain the high value of MPC *just* for the group of 35-44 years old?
- ⇒ alternative story: young households use mortgage to buy the households *and* some other (nondurable) goods
- ▶ Suggestions:
 - ▶ check the results using only inheritance dummies as instruments
 - ▶ check the impact of wealth on separate components of consumption

2. Validity of instruments

- ▶ First stage regressions and cross-sectional variability show that, even without exploiting time series variation
 - ▶ Potential endogeneity of (lagged) house prices due to selection of area: not important the longer the household live in the area
 - ▶ **but** could this explain the high value of MPC *just* for the group of 35-44 years old?
- ⇒ alternative story: young households use mortgage to buy the households *and* some other (nondurable) goods
- ▶ Suggestions:
 - ▶ check the results using only inheritance dummies as instruments
 - ▶ check the impact of wealth on separate components of consumption

3. Insignificance of financial wealth effects

- ▶ Is the financial wealth effect on consumption lower even for those Spanish households participating in financial markets (compared to participants in other countries?)