HOUSING CYCLES IN THE MAJOR EURO AREA COUNTRIES

2010

L. J. Álvarez, G. Bulligan, A. Cabrero, L. Ferrara and H. Stahl

Documentos Ocasionales N.º 1001

BANCO DE **ESPAÑA**

Eurosistema

HOUSING CYCLES IN THE MAJOR EURO AREA COUNTRIES

HOUSING CYCLES IN THE MAJOR EURO AREA COUNTRIES (*) (*)

L. J. Álvarez					
BANCO DE ESPAÑA					
G. Bulligan					
BANCA D'ITALIA					
A. Cabrero					
BANCO DE ESPAÑA					
L. Ferrara					
BANQUE DE FRANCE	≣				
H. Stahl					
DEUTSCHE BUNDES	BANK				
participants at Banca the Banque de France	Id like to thank Víctor (d'Italia and participants and November 2009, as we for useful comments on the state of the state	of the conference o ell as C. André, O. de	n <i>Macroeconomics</i> e Bandt, J. González	of Housing Markets of Minguez, T. Knetsch	organized ı, L. Á. Ma

authors and do not necessarily reflect those of their institutions.

^(**) Banco de España, DG Economics, Statistics and Research; Banca d'Italia, Department of Economic outlook and Monetary Policy Studies; Banque de France, Economics and International Relations Department; Deutsche Bundesbank, Economics Department.

The Occasional Paper Series seeks to disseminate work conducted at the Banco de España, in the performance of its functions, that may be of general interest.

The opinions and analyses in the Occasional Paper Series are the responsibility of the authors and, therefore, do not necessarily coincide with those of the Banco de España or the Eurosystem.

The Banco de España disseminates its main reports and most of its publications via the INTERNET at the following website: http://www.bde.es.

Reproduction for educational and non-commercial purposes is permitted provided that the source is acknowledged.

© BANCO DE ESPAÑA, Madrid, 2010

ISSN: 1696-2222 (print) ISSN: 1696-2230 (on line) Depósito legal: M. 15552-2010

Unidad de Publicaciones, Banco de España

Abstract

The recent burst of the house price bubble in the United States and its spillover effects on real economies worldwide has rekindled the interest in the role of housing in the business cycle. In this paper, we investigate the relationships between housing cycles among the four major euro area countries (Germany, France, Italy and Spain) over the sample 1980Q1-2008Q4. Our main findings are that GDP cycles show a high degree of comovement across these four countries, reflecting trade linkages, but much weaker ones for housing market cycles, where idiosyncratic factors play a major role. House prices are even less related than quantities across countries. We also find much stronger relationships in the common monetary policy period.

Keywords: Housing cycles, synchronisation measures, euro area countries.

JEL codes: E32, R21, R32.

Introduction

The recent burst of the house price bubble in the United States and its spill-over effects on real economies worldwide have reinforced the interest in the role of housing in the business cycle. Cross country analysis for the euro area is particularly needed to determine the relative importance of common versus idiosyncratic factors in housing markets and its interaction with the rest of the economy. Higher commonalities are to be expected in the European Monetary Union (EMU) period, given the existence of a common monetary policy. However, other determinants of housing markets may be more idiosyncratic. For example, the strength of housing wealth effects depends inter alia on differences in mortgage markets, which greatly differ across euro area countries1. Other factors, such as the degree of home ownership, the availability of land or the regulatory framework, can also have an important impact on housing demand and supply decisions [(Muellbauer and Murphy (2008)].

Existing empirical literature on housing markets mostly refers to Anglo-Saxon countries (particularly, the United States and the United Kingdom) and has emphasized the effects of house price movements on consumption and GDP through wealth channels. Even though housing market imbalances in Anglo-Saxon countries have been stronger than in European markets, cross country heterogeneity in price and volume developments raises two issues: firstly, the interaction between housing variables and the macroeconomy within each national economy and, secondly, the role of synchronization across countries of housing variables. Regarding the first issue, Ferrara and Vigna (2009) and Álvarez and Cabrero (2009) find, respectively for France and Spain, that current housing sector cycles are strongly correlated with future GDP cycles, in line with Leamer (2007) for the US. In contrast, Bulligan (2009) finds that house prices and residential investment lag Italian GDP. Knetsch (2009) analyses German housing cycles. The second issue is tackled in this paper and in Ferrara and Koopman (2009).

Existing idiosyncrasies of housing markets suggests adopting a flexible enough methodological approach, capable of dealing with these differences. For this reason, we adopt nonparametric approaches to define the cycle (section 2) and date its turning points (section 3). The paper concentrates on the analysis of housing cycles, defined as deviations of series from their long-term trend, in the four largest euro area countries (Germany, Spain, France and Italy). The choice of concentrating on growth cycles rather than in business cycle (defined as cycles in the level of a series) is dictated by the limited number of cycles in the latter. The nature of the paper is descriptive and its aim is to highlight a set of stylized facts, still missing in the euro area empirical literature. The next logical step is to develop a theoretical model able to account for these stylised facts.

The analysis covers the period 1980Q1-2008Q4, thus including the last recession. We additionally investigate a shorter sample, covering the EMU period, since the adoption of a common monetary policy may have affected the relationship between housing and the business cycle. Our benchmark cycle is real GDP. In the analysis, we include several construction related GDP components such as households' investment, investment in construction, non-residential construction investment and value added in construction. This set of variables is extended by considering additional construction real indicators,

^{1.} See Mercer Oliver Wyman (2003), ECB (2003) and IMF (2008).

such as the number of building permits, the number of housing starts and employment in the construction sector. We also analyse real and nominal house prices.

We explore relationships between the different variables by analysing cross-country pair-wise and multivariate comovement measures. Specifically, for each country pair, we compute cross-correlation coefficients and cross-concordance indexes and carry out a lead-lag analysis of turning points. We also consider two multivariate measures: effective dependence and average diffusion, defined in section 3. Our results are consistent with previous empirical analysis supporting a broadly common GDP growth cycle among the four major euro area countries, with the German cycle presenting stronger idiosyncratic features, including those related to the reunification process. In contrast, national housing markets seem to be weakly interconnected across countries. Indeed, both housing volume and price cycles are mainly driven by country specific factors. Nonetheless, the housing volume cycles in Germany and Italy are similar to each other, as are those in France and Spain, but there is no common volume cycle among all four countries. Evidence from housing price cycles confirms the idiosyncratic nature of housing markets. We also find that synchronization has increased since 1999 among business cycles and housing volume cycles, whereas housing price cycles are more heterogeneous. Section 4 concludes.

2 Methodology

A large number of procedures have been developed in the literature to carry out decompositions of aggregate output into a trend - which accounts for long term growth and a cyclical component — which measures short-term deviations from this trend [see e.g. Mills (2003)]. Cyclical analyses of the housing sector have also employed a variety of procedures. For instance, Leamer (2007) has used linear kernels, Ferrara and Vigna (2009) the band pass Hodrick and Prescott's (1997) filter, Bulligan (2009) the Baxter and King's (1999) filter and Álvarez and Cabrero (2009a) the Butterworth's (1930) filter.

This variety of procedures employed to characterise housing cycles suggests the need to discuss their relative theoretical merits. Alvarez and Cabrero (2009b) provide a frequency domain interpretation of linear kernels for business cycle analysis and show that they present serious shortcomings. Gains of this filter — which measure to which extent each cyclical fluctuation contributes to the signal - vary with the precise kernel and bandwidth chosen, but the different variants share three qualitative regularities. First, the filters present an oscillatory gain (typically referred to as the Gibbs phenomenon). Second, short cycles (with periods less than six quarters) are almost fully passed through, instead of being suppressed. Third, cyclical fluctuations with long periods (more than 32 quarters) - which should be attributed to the trend - are only partially removed. In turn, the Hodrick and Prescott (1997) filter does not have an oscillatory gain, but being a high-pass filter damps cyclical fluctuations with high periods and leaves short-run cycles barely untouched. In contrast, the Baxter and King (1999) is a band-pass filter, so mainly focuses on the cyclical band. However, the fact that it is a finite moving average filter gives rise to a Gibbs phenomenon. Moreover, the procedure involves losing k observations at the beginning and k at the end of the series -probably, the most interesting period to policy-makers. In standard applications, the filter involves the loss of the last twelve quarters.

In this paper, in order to minimize the shortcomings of the most common filters, we employ the Butterworth filter. This filter closely approximates the ideal band-pass filter [see Álvarez and Cabrero (2009b)]. This method is well known in electrical engineering in its one sided form, but is hardly used for economic time series². Butterworth filters can be low-pass or band-pass, one-sided or two sided and can be based on the sine function (BFS) or the tangent function (BFT). Interestingly, the Hodrick-Prescott filter is a particular low pass BFS, so that Butterworth filters are more flexible than the HP filter, suggesting that there may be benefits from their use. Here, we consider band pass filters, since our definition of the business cycle is the output of an ideal band-pass filter, i.e. a filter which passes through cyclical fluctuations of a time series belonging to a pre-specified band of frequencies (pass band), while removing components at higher and lower frequencies. In formal terms, the ideal band-pass filter (G_{I}^{BP}) has a gain function given by

$$G_{I}^{BP}(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } / p / < p_{1} \\ 1 & \text{if } p_{1} \le / p / \le p_{2} \\ 0 & \text{if } / p / > p_{2} \end{cases}$$

^{2.} But see Stock and Watson (1990) or Gómez (2001).

which means that cyclical fluctuations with lengths (p) belonging to the interval $[p_1, p_2]$ pass through the filter untouched, but all other fluctuations are completely removed. Use of a two-sided version of the filter allows avoiding phase shifts - present in one sided versions - that would distort the timing of turning points. We consider a Butterworth filter of the tangent, since it fully suppresses high frequency fluctuations, in contrast with Butterworth filters of the sine.

Butterworth band-pass filters of the tangent³ can be expressed in the time domain as symmetric, two sided filters in the lag (L) and forward (F) operators given by

$$BPF(L,F) = \frac{(1-L^2)^d (1-F^2)^d}{(1-L^2)^d (1-F^2)^d + \lambda (1-\alpha L + L^2)^d (1-\alpha F + F^2)^d}$$


where d is an integer parameter, $\alpha = \cos((\omega_{p2} + \omega_{p1})/2)/\cos((\omega_{p2} - \omega_{p1})/2)$, ω_{p1} and ω_{p2} are the lower and upper limits of the band-pass, respectively and λ is a parameter to ensure that the gain of the filter at a pre-specified period equals one-half. Note that larger values of d produce sharper filters, so they provide a better approximation to the ideal filter.

Following Gómez (2001), we first extend series with ARIMA forecasts and backcasts to minimise the size of revisions and then estimate the model-based trend-cycle component using the methodology in Gómez and Maravall (2001). Finally, we apply the band-pass BFT to the trend cycle component4. Our use of trends instead of seasonally-adjusted series or raw data leads to less noisy cycles, thus making easier the detection of turning points.

Estimated cycles for the four main variables in our analysis are presented in Figure 1.

Figure 1

Estimated cycles for GDP, residential investment and nominal and real house prices (BFT filter)



^{3.} Alternatively, they can be given a model-based interpretation: the band-pass BFT can be obtained as the best linear estimator, in the mean squared sense, of the signal in a signal-plus-noise model, where the signal follows a particular ARIMA model.

^{4.} Estimates are carried out using programs TRACE [Gómez (1999)] and TRAMO and SEATS [Gómez and Maravall (1996)].

3 Results

In this section, we describe the cyclical comovements across the four major euro area economies. The main focus of the analysis is the housing market and we distinguish between housing related real and nominal variables (see Appendix 1 for a description of the database). Among housing market indicators, our preferred variables are residential investment and house prices in nominal and real terms. We additionally consider some quantity related construction indicators⁵: investment in construction, non residential construction investment, value added, employment in the construction sector, building permits and housing starts.

We refer to synchronization as the degree to which two or more variables co-move contemporaneously and measure it for every country pair by the contemporaneous correlation coefficient and by the concordance index between their respective cycles. Since leading/lagging relationships may exist between variables we also consider their dynamic relationships, by analysing the maximum cross-correlation coefficient and maximum cross-concordance index over a range of leads (+) and lags (-), between +4 and -4 quarters. Finally, it is worth bearing in mind that those two measures of synchronization complement each other nicely. Indeed, on the one hand, the correlation coefficient measures the degree to which two variables are linearly related, by using the whole information in variables, while, on the other hand, concordance measures focus only on cyclical turning points, so they can deal with linear and non-linear relationships between variables, but at the expense of discarding some information.

In addition, we consider a measure of multivariate linear dependence put forward by Peña and Rodríguez (2003). This measure can be thought of as a generalisation of the standard squared correlation coefficient in the bivariate case. Specifically, this measure is defined as

$$PR = 1 - \left| R \right|^{1/p}$$

where |R| denotes the determinant of the correlation matrix of the variables of interest and p denotes the number of variables. This measure is bounded between 0 and 1 and a higher value means a higher degree of linear dependence. A set of orthogonal variables leads to PR=0, whereas PR=1 entails perfect collinearity among variables. An additional property of this measure is that it can be used to compare groups with a different number of variables.

The results of our analysis refer to the sample 1980Q1-2008Q4. We also consider a sample for the common monetary policy period. The choice tries to strike a balance between the need to incorporate enough cycles in order to get meaningful results and the need to assess any likely change in the most recent subsample.

3.1 Correlation analysis

First, we consider aggregate GDP cycles, then housing volumes construction cycles, and, finally housing price cycles. Results are summarized in Table 1.

^{5.} Since the housing sector only represents between 40% and 60% of construction value added, some variables also reflect developments in other activity branches, such as commercial building and infrastructures.

a) AGGREGATE ACTIVITY CYCLES

Table 1 reports comovement results for GDP and other macro-variables. GDP is taken as a benchmark against which to evaluate results for housing related variables. An extended empirical literature is available pointing out that GDP cycles in the Euro area are strongly synchronized [e.g. de Bandt, Herrmann and Parigi (2006)], and that synchronization has increased since the mid-nineties [e.g. de Haan, Inklaar and Jong-A-Pin (2008)]. Our results, confirm such findings: the average contemporaneous correlation coefficient between all cross country GDP pairs is 0.58, with pairwise contemporaneous correlations ranging between 0.47 (between Germany and Spain, as well as between Germany and France) and 0.66 (between Italy and France, as well as France and Spain). We find an effective dependence coefficient of 0.36, which is the highest among the variables considered. The cross-correlation analysis reveals that the bulk of comovement is contemporaneous, with the exception of Spain, which tends to lead the other countries (Germany and Italy by two quarters and France by one quarter). Furthermore, pairwise correlation coefficients show that activity in France, Spain and Italy has important common elements, whereas the German cycle is characterized by stronger idiosyncratic features, partly reflecting the reunification process (Appendices 4 and 5).

b) CONSTRUCTION CYCLES

Synchronization between *residential investment* cycles is considerably lower than among GDPs, the effective dependence being 0.21. This suggests that country-specific factors tend to play a stronger role in domestic housing markets. Indeed, with the exceptions of Italian and German cycles, which are strongly correlated (0.71) the remaining pairwise correlation coefficients are generally low and so is effective dependence (0.21). Cross-correlation analysis confirms this point and also shows that the Spanish housing investment cycle slightly leads the French one (Appendices 4 and 5).

Residential investment, as defined in quarterly national accounts, covers the construction of new buildings as well as the upgrading of existing buildings. Cyclical developments in these two types of investment may be different, so it is worthwhile to separate them. National Accounts data do not allow this distinction, but the number of housing starts and building permits may proxy for investment in new buildings. Unfortunately, data on housing starts are only available for Spain and France⁶. Nonetheless, the correlation between housing starts cycles in France and Spain is much stronger (0.73) than when considering total residential investment, with the French cycle slightly leading the Spanish one. Building permits cycles are also strongly synchronized between France and Spain. These facts suggest that "upgrading" cycles in France and Spain should differ. German building permits in general do not appear to be related to Spanish or French ones.

Cycles in *non-residential construction investment* show a moderate correlation of the French cycle with respect to the other countries (0.45 with Germany, 0.32 with Spain, 0.26 with Italy). Cross-correlations reveal that the Spanish cycle leads the Italian and French ones, while the German cycle seems unrelated to the Italian and Spanish ones.

.

^{6.} The time series for the number of building permits is too short for Italy and in Germany several government interventions aimed at the abolishment of subsidies for residential investment provoked each time a strong anticipation of permits. This, in turn, severely distorts the correlation and lead-lag relationships to other housing variables.

Average contemporaneous correlations and effective comovements (*)									
	Corre	lations	Effective comovements						
	1980:Q1 2008:Q4 1999:Q1 2008:Q4		1980:Q1 2008:Q4	1999:Q1 2008:Q4					
GDP	0.58	0.88	0.36	0.77					
Investment in construction	0.15	0.23	0.14	0.21					
Households' investment in housing	0.29	0.62	0.21	0.40					
Non residential construction investment	0.12	0.45	0.17	0.34					
Construction Value added	0.19	0.51	0.15	0.36					
Employment in construction sector	0.27	0.59	0.23	0.48					
Building permits	0.26	0.38	0.24	0.36					
Housing starts	0.70	0.80	0.29	0.40					
House prices	0.09	0.17	0.28	0.35					
Real House prices	0.33	0.22	0.18	0.34					

(*) Effective comovement measure correspond to Peña and Rodriguez (2003) efective dependence measure Colour key: ■ coefficient between 0.35 and 0.65; ■ coefficient higher than 0.65

Synchronization among total investment in construction variables is lower than that observed for residential investment (pairwise average correlation 0.15 and effective dependence 0.14), probably reflecting that public construction is not related across countries. In particular, values close to zero are found between Germany and Italy⁷, while a positive and high value is found between Spain and France (0.60). Cross-correlation analysis reveals the leading nature of the Spanish cycle with respect to the French and Italian ones and the lagging nature of the latter. German cycles seem to be uncorrelated at any lead and lag with the remaining countries, showing, thus, highly idiosyncratic dynamics (Appendices 4 and 5).

We also find a weak synchronization of employment in the construction sector (pairwise average correlation 0.27, effective dependence 0.23), with the exception of a strong synchronization between the Spanish employment cycle and the French one (correlation 0.73). Lead-lag analysis confirms these results. Employment is nonetheless stronger synchronized than investment. Synchronization among value added in construction variables is low on average (0.19), ranging from negative between Italy and Germany (-0.25) to mildly positive between Italy and France and France and Spain (around 0.4 in both cases). Lead-lag analysis suggests that Spain tends to lead the other countries.

c) HOUSE PRICE CYCLES

The idiosyncratic nature of national housing markets is even clearer for house price cycles. These are even more country-specific (average pairwise correlation 0.10) than volume housing cycles. We find a strong relationship only between Spain and Italy (correlation 0.79) and a negative correlation of the French cycle with the Spanish and Italian ones (-0.41 in both cases). Furthermore, the German cycle is weakly correlated with those of the other countries. Results from cross-correlation analysis suggest that the negative contemporaneous correlation of the French cycle with Italy and Spain reflects the mildly leading nature of the former with respect to the latter.

^{7.} This indicates a negative correlation between German residential and Italian non-residential construction investment and also between German non-residential and Italian residential investment, offsetting the strong correlation between German and Italian residential investment.

As the behaviour of nominal house prices might reflect that of the general price level [see, e.g. Tsatsaronis and Zhu (2004)], we have also performed the same analysis for the real house price indexes. It turns out that the high value of comovements observed between nominal indexes of Italy and Spain is mainly driven by the common behaviour of inflation in these two countries, so that the real house price comovement is considerably lower (correlation 0.15). A lead-lag analysis indicates that most of the comovements are contemporaneous, although Spain lags with respect to the remaining countries.

3.2 Concordance analysis

After discussing the results based on correlation coefficients, we focus on measures of concordance based on the sequence of turning points in the cycles previously (Figure 1 and Appendix 3). That is, we look for dependence and leads and lags between countries by only taking the dates of turning points into account. It is noteworthy that, by construction, concordance indexes and correlation coefficients are not directly comparable. Especially, a correlation coefficient allows not only a positive relationship but also a negative one, while a concordance index treats a negative relationship as unrelated. In fact, the concordance index measures the fraction of time two binary variables are in the same regime. Furthermore, as mentioned above, the two synchronization measures focus on different and complementary aspects of the cycle, so that in the following some results might differ from those commented upon in the correlation analysis.

The first step in the concordance analysis is to identify peaks and troughs in the Butterworth band-pass filter cycles estimated above. Several approaches have been put forward in the literature to identify turning points in macroeconomic time series, either based on parametric modelling or on non-parametric methods. Most parametric procedures are based on the Markov-Switching approach. Following the seminal work by Hamilton (1989), several authors have tried to identify turning in business cycles, including Krolzig (2001), Ferrara (2003), Artis et al. (2004) or Bengoechea et al. (2006). In contrast, non-parametric methods generally rely on pattern recognition algorithms, as in the standard Bry-Boschan (1971) algorithm. In this paper, we implement the quarterly extension of the Bry-Boschan algorithm proposed by Harding and Pagan (2002) to locate peaks and troughs of the series. We argue that in order to date turning points in the past it is preferable to use a simple tool that avoids specification issues. Basically, the heart of the Bry and Boschan (1971) algorithm, for a time series (y_t), is given by the following rule:

Peak at t:
$$\{ y_t > y_{t-k} , y_t > y_{t+k} , k=1,..., K \}$$

Trough at t:
$$\{ y_t < y_{t-k} , y_t < y_{t+k} , k=1,..., K \},$$

where K=2 for quarterly time series. This approach is based on a variation in growth rates over a bandwidth in comparison with an a priori threshold set to zero.

Once turning points have been identified, for each variable of each country i, we compute a binary variable (S_{tt}), the so called reference cycle, such that S_{tt} is equal to 1 during a descending phase of the cycle (that is, between a peak and a trough) and zero otherwise. Our aim is to evaluate whether, for each variable, there is a common pattern and synchronisation among countries. There are several ways to assess synchronisation. The simplest one is to compute a concordance index, which measures the fraction of time that the reference cycles of different series are in the same phase [Harding and Pagan (2002) or Artis et al. (2004)] and which is bounded between 0 and 1. For two countries i and j, the concordance index is defined as:

$$CI = \frac{1}{T} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^{T} S_{it} S_{jt} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} (1 - S_{it}) (1 - S_{jt}) \right\}$$

At each date t, this concordance index is equal to 1 when $S_i = S_i$ and to 0 when $S_i = 1 - S_i$. In order to take possible leads and lags into account, we also compute a cross-concordance index (CCI), based on the concordance between $S_{i,t}$ and $S_{i,t-h}$, for various positive and negative h. For each pair of countries, we focus on the maximum CCI over all various leads and lags. As in the case of the maximum cross-correlation, this lead-lag is taken as an estimate of the relative timing between turning points in the cycles of countries i and i.

As in the above correlation analysis, we compute the contemporaneous and the cross-concordance indexes for h such that $h \in \{-4, -2, -1, +1, +2, +4\}$, and we retain the lead (lag) that maximizes the CCI. In addition, when the concordance is found to be strong between two countries, we carry out a lead-lag analysis that consists in computing the average lead (lag) of turning points in one country by comparison with the other one. This lead-lag analysis is complementary to that with cross-concordance indexes. Results are presented in Appendices 8 and 9.

a) AGGREGATE ACTIVITY CYCLES

Since 1980, all four countries have experienced four complete cycles from peak to peak, if we include the last peak that we provisionally place in 2007q4 or 2008q1 depending on the country8. The analysis based on concordance indexes confirms that GDP comovements among the four countries are strongly synchronised: this index ranges from 0.61 (between Italy and Spain) to 0.73 (between Italy and France). Regarding lead-lag relationships, we note that the German cycle appears to be lagging with respect to other cycles (one quarter with respect to France and four quarters with respect to Spain). We have carried out a lead-lag analysis on turning points (see Figure 2) and computed average and median distances between turning points. Because of the small number of points, median values are useful to avoid an overly strong influence of extreme values. It turns out that the German cycle lags Spanish and French ones by around three quarters, while it is more coincident with the Italian one. As in the case of cross correlations, we find that Spanish and French GDP cycles tend to lead those of Italy and Germany.


b) CONSTRUCTION CYCLES

Regarding residential investment cycles, concordance indexes values confirm the results from correlation analysis that synchronization is lower than for GDP cycles. Also in line with previous analysis is the high concordance between France and Spain (0.72) and between Italy and Germany (0.69). The latter is, however, generally poorly synchronized with

^{8.} Note that, due to end-point effects in filtering, the dates of this last peak are still provisional and can be changed in the future when including new data. However, we have decided to include them in our analysis.

other countries, possibly due to the intertemporal distortions connected with the German reunification. Note that concordance measures show that the Italian residential investment cycle lags the remaining ones, in contrast with the correlation analysis (see Figure 3). Regarding building permits and housing starts concordance indexes between France and Spain are high (0.75) with a French lead of two quarters. The lead-lag analysis reveals an average lead of one quarter and a median lead of two quarters for French building permits, while the average and median leads are both two quarters for French housing starts. In line with results from the correlation analysis, developments in the number of building permits in Germany do not appear to be related to those in France and Spain.


Figure 2 Lead-lag analysis for GDP growth cycles. Country pairs (*) (Average and median duration in number of quarters)



(*) First country leads second country

Lead-lag analysis for residential investment cycles. Country pairs (*) (Average and median duration in number of quarters)

Figure 3



(*) Positive(negative) value if first country leads(lags) second country

Regarding non-residential investment in construction, there is a high degree of concordance between France and the other three countries. Note also that Spain tends to lead Germany and Italy. The significant comovement of non-residential investment may be explained by the stronger interconnection of business activities as opposed to the more local nature of housing markets.

Analysis of the investment in construction cycles confirms the highly idiosyncratic nature of German volume cycles. Relationships between the remaining series for investment in construction are characterized by stronger ties (between France and Spain CI of 0.79). Furthermore, Italy lags France (two quarters) and Spain (four quarters), with a high degree of concordance (0.74 and 0.71, respectively), a finding in line with results from the correlation analysis.

Employment in construction is weakly related across countries in terms of cyclical concordance, with the exception of the strong link between France and Spain (CCI=0.75), in line with evidence from correlation analysis. This result suggests the relevance of country specific differences in labour market contracts. Value added in construction cycles are related across countries: concordance analysis suggest that Spain leads the remaining countries, in line with correlation analysis by around two quarters. Concordance analysis shows a tighter relation between the countries than correlation analysis.

c) HOUSE PRICES CYCLES

Overall, results for nominal and real house prices reinforce the diagnosis of idiosyncratic behaviour for domestic housing markets, especially in the case of nominal prices. Indeed, we observe that high concordance indexes are not common nominal prices, with two exceptions: a high concordance value between Spain and Italy (0.78) and a strong relationship between Germany and Spain (CI=0.69), Germany being leading. The rest of Cls are not higher than 0.60. We also find that the Italian cycle seems to be systematically lagging.

Synchronisation is slightly higher in terms of real house prices, reflecting commonalities in consumer price inflation developments. The highest concordance indexes correspond to France and Germany (CCI=0.68) and France and Spain (CCI=0.65), France leading in both cases by one quarter. For the rest of country pairs, the degrees of concordance are very weak, but we observe again that the Italian cycle is systematically lagging.

To sum up the results on cross country comovement, we confirm the notion of a broadly common GDP growth cycle among the four countries, despite the German cycle being characterized by stronger idiosyncratic features. Such high level of comovement is not found among national housing markets. Indeed, both housing volume and price cycles are mainly driven by country specific factors. Volume cycles in Germany and Italy share a different cycle from that between France and Spain. Moreover, Spain tends to lead the other countries. Evidence from housing price cycles confirms the idiosyncratic nature of housing markets.

3.3 Convergence or divergence in the monetary union?

In this section, we analyse the change in synchronization in the more recent 1999-2008 subsample, referred to as the EMU sample hereafter. We compare average pairwise contemporaneous cross correlations and the effective dependence measure over 1980-2008 with that over the EMU sample. As a multivariate measure of concordance, we consider the average classical diffusion index9, defined at each date by

$$DI_t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n S_{i,t}^j$$

where Si,t is the binary variable for variable j in country i. We put forward a multivariate synchronisation index (SI), that measures the fraction of time the n countries are simultaneously in the same phase of the cycle (i.e.: for i=1,...,n, $S_{i,t}=0$ or $S_{i,t}=1$). This index is bounded between 0 and 1. Thus, we define SI by

$$SI = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} 1\{(DI_t = 1) \cup (DI_t = 0)\}$$

where 1{.} is the indicator function. Table 1 and Figures 4, 5, and 6 clearly show that synchronization for the different variables has increased, regardless of the way it is measured. Particularly striking is the increase in synchronization measures for GDP comovements, a finding highlighted in much of the existing empirical literature [e.g. Cabrero et al. (2004)]. Housing volume cycles consistently show an increase in synchronization, particularly strong for residential investment and milder for housing starts, which already showed a very high value¹⁰. Results for house prices are mixed, depending on the measure considered. Furthermore, changes in the EMU period are smaller than for volume variables.

^{9.} Several measures are available in the literature. For example, Harding and Pagan (2006) have proposed a test for multivariate non-synchronisation and perfect synchronisation. Candelon et al. (2009) have extended this test in order to take a small number of cycles into account.

^{10.} This high value only reflects developments in France and Spain, as there is no data on housing starts for Germany with its rather idiosyncratic housing market, while for Italy the available time period is too short.

Figure 4

Bivariate synchronization: average pairwise correlation




Figure 5

Multivariate synchronization: effective dependence





Figure 6

Multivariate synchronisation: diffusion index



Conclusions

Recent years have seen an explosion of papers focussing on housing price cycles and, to a much lesser extent, housing volume cycles. In this paper, we contribute to this latter incipient literature by analysing housing cycles in the four major euro area countries. We take a fully nonparametric approach both in the calculation of cyclical components and in the dating of turning points.

We find that, in the four major euro area countries, GDP cycles show a high degree of comovement, most likely due to trade linkages, although idiosyncratic factors play a larger role, particularly in Germany. Cross country comovements are mostly contemporaneous, but developments in Spain tend to lead those in Germany, Italy and France by one or two quarters. In contrast, comovements are substantially weaker for housing market cycles, where country-specific or local variables, such as land availability or regulation, play a major role. Again, residential investment developments in Spain precede those in the other countries. Nominal prices are weakly related across countries, but developments in France tend lead those in the other countries.

The analysis of the European Monetary Union period clearly shows stronger GDP linkages across countries than in the whole sample, probably reflecting the increasing importance of trade flows. Stronger relationships are also seen for residential investment variables in the period with a common monetary policy, probably due to convergence in mortgage interest rates. Against this background, comovements in the housing sector continue to be much weaker for prices than for real variables.

REFERENCES

- ÁLVAREZ, L. J., and A. CABRERO (2009a). Does housing really lead the business cycle?, mimeo, Banco de España.
- (2009b). Pitfalls in business cycle estimation with local polynomial regression, mimeo, Banco de España.
- ARTIS, M., H-M. KROLZIG and J. TORO (2004). "The European business cycle", Oxford Economic Papers, 56, pp. 1-44.
- CABRERO, A., C. CHULIÁ and A. MILLARUELO (2004). An assessment of macroeconomic divergences in the euro area. Ocassional Paper 0304. Banco de España.
- CANDELON, B., J. PIPLACK and S. STRAETMANS (2009). "Multivariate business cycle synchronization in small samples", Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 71, 5, pp. 715-737.
- BAXTER, M., and R. G. KING (1999). "Measuring Business Cycles. Approximate Band-Pass Filters for Economic Time Series", The Review of Economics and Statistics, 81 (4), pp. 575-593.
- BENGOECHEA, P., M. CAMACHO and G. PÉREZ-QUIROS (2006). "A useful tool to identify recessions in the Euro-area", International Journal of Forecasting, 22, pp. 735-749.
- BULLIGAN, G. (2009). Housing and the macroeconomy: The Italian case, mimeo, Banca d'Italia.
- BUTTERWORTH, S. (1930). "On the theory of filter amplifiers", Experimental Wireless and the Wireless Engineer, 7, pp. 536-541.
- DE BANDT, O., H. HERRMANN and G. PARIGI (2006). Convergence or Divergence in Europe? Growth and Business Cycles in France, Germany and Italy, Springer.
- DE HAAN, J., R. INKLAAR and R. JONG-A-PIN (2008). "Will Business Cycles In The Euro Area Converge? A Critical Survey of Empirical Research", Journal of Economic Surveys, 22 (2), pp. 234-273.
- ECB (2003), Structural factors in the EU housing markets, March, European Central Bank,
- FERRARA, L. (2003). "A three-regime real-time indicator for the US economy". Economics Letters, 81, pp. 373-378.
- FERRARA, L., and S. J. KOOPMAN (2009). Common business and housing markets cycles in the euro area: A multivariate component approach, mimeo, Banque de France.
- FERRARA, L., and O. VIGNA (2009). Evidence of relationships between macroeconomic and housing cycles in France, mimeo, Banque de France.
- GÓMEZ, V. (1999). Program TRACE (Trend and Cycle Estimation). Instructions for the user.
- (2001). "The use of Butterworth filters for trend and cycle estimation in economic time series", Journal of Business and Economic Statistics, 19 (3), pp. 365-373.
- GÓMEZ, V., and A. MARAVALL (1996). Programs TRAMO and SEATS, Working Paper 9628, Banco de España.
- (2001). "Seasonal adjustment and signal extraction time series", in A Course in Time Series Analysis, D. Peña, G. C. Tiao and R. S. Tsay (eds.), John Wiley and Sons.
- HAMILTON, J. D. (1989). "A new approach to the economic analysis of nonstationary time series and the business cycle", Econometrica, 57, pp. 357-384.
- HARDING, D., and A. PAGAN (2002). "Dissecting the Cycle: A Methodological Investigation", Journal of Monetary Economics, 49 (2), pp. 365-381.
- (2006), "Synchronization of cycles", Journal of Econometrics, 132, pp. 59-79.
- HODRICK, R. J., and E. C. PRESCOTT (1997). "Postwar U.S. Business Cycles: An Empirical Investigation", Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 29 (1), pp. 1-16.
- HOELLER, P., and D. RAE (2007). Housing Markets and Adjustment in Monetary Union, OECD Economics Department Working Papers, No. 550.
- KNETSCH, T. (2009). Trend and cycle features in German residential investment before and after unification, mimeo, Deutsche Bundesbank.
- KROLZIG, H. M. (2001). "Markov-switching procedures for dating the Euro-zone business cycle", Quarterly Journal of Economic Research, 3, pp. 339-351.
- IMF (2008). World Economic Outlook, April.
- LEAMER, E. E. (2007). Housing is the business cycle, NBER Working Paper Series 13428.
- MAZZI, G. L., and G. SAVIO (2007). Growth and Cycle in the Eurozone, Palgrave MacMillan.
- MERCER OLIVER WYMAN (2003). Study on the integration of European mortgage markets, European Mortgage Federation, Bruxelles.
- MILLS, T. C. (2003). Modelling Trends and Cycles in Economic Time Series, Palgrave Macmillan.
- PEÑA, D., and J. RODRÍGUEZ (2003). "Descriptive measures of multivariate scatter and linear dependence", Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 85, pp. 361-374.
- STOCK, J., and M. WATSON (1990). Business Cycle Properties of Selected U.S. Economic Time-series, NBER Working Paper Series 3376.
- TSATSARONIS, K., and H. ZHU (2004). "What drive housing prices dynamics: Cross-country evidence", BIS Quarterly Review, March, pp. 65-78.


Appendix 1: Description of the 10 variables included in the dataset


	Germany (DE)	Spain (ES)	France (FR)	ltaly (IT)	
GDP	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	
	Source: National Statistical Institute (Destatis)	Source: National Statistical Institute (INE) and own elaboration	Source: National Statistical Institute (INSEE)	Source: National Statistical Institute (ISTAT)	
	Comment: Linked in 1991. Index 2000=100	Comment: Linkage of 1995 and 2000 quarterly national accounts bases. Index 2000=100	Comment: Chain-linked quarterly national accounts (SA-WDA, Base: 2000)	Comment: source QNA base year 2000	
Investment in construction	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	
	Source: National Accounts, National Statistical Institute (Destatis). Index 2000=100	Source: National Statistic Institute (INE) and own elaboration	Source: National Statistical Institute (INSEE)	Source: National Statistical Institute (ISTAT)	
	Comment: Linked in 1991. Index 2000=100		Comment: Chain-linked quarterly national accounts (SA-WDA, Base: 2000)	Comment: source QNA base year 2000	
Households' investment in housing (Volume)					
	Source: National Accounts, National Statistical Institute (Destatis)	Source: National Statistical Institute (INE) and own elaboration	Source: National Statistical Institute (INSEE)	Source: National Statistical Institute (ISTAT)	
	Comment: Linked in 1991. Before 1991 housing of total economy, then households' investment in housing. Index 2000=100	Comment: Linkage of 1995 and 2000 quarterly national accounts bases. Index 2000=100	Comment: Chain-linked quarterly national accounts (SA-WDA, Base: 2000)	Comment: source QNA base year 2000	
Non residential construction investment					
	Source: National Accounts, National Statistical Institute (Destatis)	Source: National Statistical Institute (INE) and own elaboration	Source: National Statistical Institute (INSEE)	Source: National Statistical Institute (ISTAT)	
	Comment: Linked in 1991. Index 2000=100	Comment: Linkage of 1995 and 2000 quarterly national accounts bases. Index 2000=100	Comment: Chain-linked quarterly national accounts (SA-WDA, Base: 2000)	Comment: source QNA base year 2000	
Construction Value added	1980-Q1 2008-Q1	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1981-Q1 2008-Q4	
	Source: National Accounts, National Statistical Institute (Destatis)	Source: National Statistical Institute (INE) and own elaboration	Source: National Statistical Institute (INSEE)	Source: National Statistical Institute (ISTAT)	
	Comment: Linked in 1991. Index 2000=100	Comment: Linkage of 1995 and 2000 quarterly national accounts bases. Index 2000=100	Comment: Chain-linked quarterly national accounts (SA-WDA, Base: 2000)	Comment: source QNA base year 2000	


Appendix 1: Description of the 10 variables included in the dataset (con't)


Employment in construction sector	1980-Q1 2008-Q1	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q1
	Source: National Accounts, National Statistical Institute (Destatis)	Source: National Statistical Institute (INE) and own elaboration	Source: National Statistical Institute (INSEE)	Source: National Statistical Institute (ISTAT)
	Comment: Thousands of people (Heads; cycle is the same as for full time equivalents, which are estimated, however)	Comment: Linkage of Labour Force Survay (up to 1994:Q4) to quarterly national account data. Thousands of people (Full Time Equivalent)	Comment: SA data	Comment: source QNA base year 2000
Authorizations (building permits)	1980-Q1 2008-Q1	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	
	Source: National Statistical Institute (Destatis)		Source: Ministère de l'Ecologie, de l'Energie, du Dévelopement Durable et de la Mer. Commissariat général au développement durable	
	Comment: Number of permits. Before 1994 West-Germany. Data multiplied by ratio 1994Q2 / 1994Q1.	Comment: Number of permits	Comment Number of permits	
Housing starts		1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	
		Source: Housing Ministry	Source: Ministère de l'Ecologie, de l'Energie, du Dévelopement Durable et de la Mer. Commissariat général au développement durable	
			Comments: Includes subsidized and unsubsidized houses. Number of houses	
House prices	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4
	Source: BulwienGesa AG and own calculations		Source Index Insee-Notaries since 1996 Q1 and internal back-calculation	Source: Il consulente Immobiliare and own calculation
	Comment: Yearly data. Quarterly data imputed. Index 2005=100	Comment: € per square meter. Until 1986:Q4 BdE elaboration. Since 1987:Q1, Ministry of Housing, likening bases 2000 (until 2005:Q4) and 2005 since 2006:Q1 series linked using q-	Comment: Price index of existing dwellings in France, SA, base 100 in 2000 Q4. Back-calculation using annual historiacl series.	Comment: € per square meter.Index 1995=1
Real house prices	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4	1980-Q1 2008-Q4
	Source: Own elaboration	Source: Own elaboration	Source: Own elaboration	Source: Own elaboration
	Comment: Ratio of house prices and CPI	Comment: Ratio of house prices and HICP	Comment: Ratio of house prices and HICP	Comment: Ratio of house prices and HICP
		Unavailable or incomplete time range		


Appendix 2: Annual growth rates of the 10 variables (1980Q1 - 2008Q4)































Appendix 3: Estimated growth cycles for the 10 variables (1980Q1 - 2008Q4)


























Appendix 4: Cross-correlation (1980 Q1- 2008 Q4)

GDP Contern DE ES FR IT	DE ES FR IT 1.00 0.47 0.66 0.47 1.00 0.66 0.58 0.47 0.66 1.00 0.66 0.65 0.58 0.66 1.00	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT 0.95 0.35 0.35 0.58 0.55 0.94 0.70 0.63 0.54 0.59 0.95 0.63 0.65 0.47 0.60 0.91	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT 0.81 0.20 0.19 0.44 0.57 0.78 0.69 0.63 0.57 0.49 0.80 0.53 0.57 0.33 0.45 0.70	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT 0.40 -0.12 -0.18 0.02 0.51 0.34 0.52 0.48 0.58 0.28 0.35 0.28 0.32 0.08 0.04 0.16
	nent in construction sporaneous DE ES FR IT 1.00 -0.01 0.21 -0.16 -0.01 1.00 0.60 0.10 0.21 0.60 1.00 0.15 -0.16 0.10 0.15 1.00	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT 0.90 -0.02 0.29 -0.14 0.01 0.94 0.63 0.24 0.12 0.54 0.94 0.22 -0.19 -0.03 0.06 0.93	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT 0.66 -0.05 0.33 -0.13 0.03 0.79 0.62 0.37 0.05 0.42 0.77 0.28 -0.21 -0.15 -0.04 0.73	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT 0.10 -0.14 0.28 -0.10 0.11 0.39 0.51 0.60 -0.02 0.10 0.28 0.35 -0.19 -0.34 -0.26 0.22
	DE	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT 0.93 0.05 0.23 0.68 0.10 0.92 0.45 0.19 0.03 0.34 0.93 0.18 0.67 0.14 0.25 0.92	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT 0.74 0.01 0.31 0.59 0.11 0.71 0.44 0.19 -0.05 0.23 0.76 0.14 0.57 0.09 0.26 0.70	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT 0.23 -0.07 0.33 0.33 0.14 0.27 0.33 0.24 -0.12 -0.02 0.23 0.11 0.25 -0.03 0.20 0.19
	DE	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT 0.95 -0.12 0.46 -0.27 -0.05 0.91 0.42 0.23 0.40 0.19 0.95 0.33 -0.30 -0.09 0.17 0.95	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT 0.82 -0.15 0.45 -0.23 -0.04 0.68 0.48 0.38 0.34 0.03 0.79 0.37 -0.30 -0.22 0.06 0.81	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT 0.44 -0.14 0.34 -0.13 -0.06 0.08 0.47 0.58 0.18 -0.24 0.31 0.35 -0.26 -0.37 -0.18 0.35
	uction Value added uporaneous DE ES FR IT 1.00 -0.02 0.29 -0.25 -0.02 1.00 0.39 0.29 0.29 0.39 1.00 0.41 -0.25 0.29 0.41 1.00	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT 0.92 -0.06 0.30 -0.27 0.02 0.93 0.49 0.44 0.24 0.26 0.94 0.49 -0.20 0.12 0.29 0.95	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT 0.72 -0.11 0.28 -0.27 0.02 0.74 0.54 0.58 0.17 0.12 0.78 0.54 -0.14 -0.04 0.14 0.80	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT 0.22 -0.18 0.15 -0.22 -0.05 0.29 0.49 0.74 0.06 -0.14 0.29 0.51 0.03 -0.28 -0.17 0.36

Appendix 4: Cross-correlation (1980 Q1- 2008Q4) (cont'd)

	yment in construction sector poraneous ES FR IT 1.00 0.28 0.36 -0.18 0.28 1.00 0.73 0.25 0.36 0.73 1.00 0.18 -0.18 0.25 0.18 1.00	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT 0.95 0.23 0.39 -0.20 0.32 0.94 0.73 0.28 0.30 0.70 0.96 0.25 -0.15 0.21 0.10 0.88	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT 0.83 0.16 0.39 -0.18 0.34 0.79 0.65 0.27 0.21 0.62 0.85 0.30 -0.09 0.14 0.00 0.57	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT 0.43 -0.03 0.31 -0.04 0.28 0.39 0.38 0.21 -0.01 0.34 0.46 0.30 0.03 -0.05 -0.18 -0.02
	permits appraneous DE ES FR IT 1.00 -0.04 0.06 -0.04 1.00 0.74 0.06 0.74 1.00	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT 0.93 -0.08 0.04 0.01 0.94 0.64 0.06 0.77 0.94	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT 0.74 -0.13 0.02 0.06 0.78 0.51 0.06 0.74 0.77	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT 0.20
	ng starts nporaneous DE ES FR IT 1.00 0.70 0.70 1.00	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT 0.94 0.61 0.73 0.92	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT 0.79 0.49 0.69 0.72	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT 0.33 0.22 0.45 0.22
House Conten DE ES FR IT	prices DE ES FR IT 1.00 0.30 0.06 0.19 0.30 1.00 -0.41 0.79 0.06 -0.41 1.00 -0.41 0.19 0.79 -0.41 1.00	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT 0.93 0.36 -0.03 0.18 0.19 0.96 -0.52 0.75 0.19 -0.19 0.97 -0.20 0.12 0.75 -0.49 0.94	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 FR IT 0.75 0.40 -0.13 0.14 0.08 0.85 -0.60 0.65 0.29 0.06 0.87 0.05 0.04 0.65 -0.54 0.77	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 FR IT DE ES FR IT 0.22 0.40 -0.35 0.09 -0.09 0.47 -0.70 0.46 0.37 0.47 0.56 0.40 -0.09 0.33 -0.58 0.37
	couse prices apprameous DE ES FR IT 1.00 0.10 0.51 0.32 0.10 1.00 0.43 0.15 0.51 0.43 1.00 0.45 0.32 0.15 0.45 1.00	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT 0.93 0.19 0.49 0.28 0.01 0.95 0.33 0.13 0.49 0.52 0.97 0.42 0.31 0.18 0.44 0.93	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT 0.75 0.27 0.42 0.21 -0.06 0.82 0.21 0.13 0.42 0.57 0.87 0.36 0.26 0.22 0.41 0.75	DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT 0.24 0.37 0.17 0.09 -0.16 0.47 0.00 0.22 0.23 0.59 0.57 0.24 0.13 0.25 0.31 0.36

Appendix 5: Maximal cross-correlation (1980Q1 - 2008Q4)

GDP											
				orrelation loc					•	ountry column	
DE ES IT	E ES FR IT 1.00 0.47 0.47 0.65 0.57 1.00 0.70 0.63 0.58 0.66 1.00 0.66 0.65 0.58 0.66 1.00	DE ES FR IT	contemp. Lead 2 Lead 4 contemp.	contemp. contemp. contemp. contemp.	FR contemp. Lead 1 contemp.	IT contemp. Lead 2 contemp. contemp.	DE ES FR IT	DE Lead Lead Contemp.	ES Lag Lag Lag	FR Lag Lead Contemp.	contemp. Lead Contemp.
Investme	ent in construction										
DE DE ES FR IT	E ES FR IT 1.00, -0.01, 0.33, -0.10 0.11, 1.00, 0.63, 0.60 0.21, 0.60, 1.00, 0.35 -0.16, 0.10, 0.15, 1.00	DE ES FR IT	contemp. Lead 4 contemp. contemp.	contemp. contemp. contemp.	FR Lead 2 Lead 1 contemp.	Lead 4 Lead 4 Lead 4 Lead 4 contemp.	DE ES FR IT	DE Lead Lag Lag	ES Lag Lag Lag	FR Lead Lead Lag	IT Lead Lead Lead
Househo	olds' investment in housing										
DE D	E ES FR IT 1.00 0.08 0.33 0.71 0.14 1.00 0.45 0.24 0.14 0.42 1.00 0.22 0.71 0.18 0.26 1.00	DE ES FR IT	contemp. Lead 4 contemp. contemp.	contemp. contemp. contemp. contemp.	FR Lead 4 Lead 1 contemp. Lead 2	IT contemp. Lead 4 contemp. contemp.	DE ES FR IT	DE Lead Lag Contemp.	ES Lag Lag Lag	FR Lead Lead Lead	IT contemp. Lead Lag
Non resi	idential construction investment										
DE ES FR	E ES FR IT 1.00, -0.08, 0.46, -0.13 -0.04, 1.00, 0.48, 0.58 0.45, 0.32, 1.00, 0.37 -0.26, 0.07, 0.26, 1.00	DE ES FR IT	DE contemp. Lead 2 contemp. Lead 4	contemp. contemp. contemp. contemp.	FR Lead 1 Lead 2 contemp.	IT Lead 4 Lead 4 Lead 2 contemp.	DE ES FR IT	DE Lead Lag Lag	ES Lag Lag Lag	FR Lead Lead Lag	IT Lead Lead Lead
Construc	ction Value added										
DE ES FR	E ES FR IT 1.00 -0.02 0.30 -0.22 0.02 1.00 0.54 0.74 0.29 0.39 1.00 0.54 0.03 0.29 0.41 1.00	DE ES FR IT	contemp. Lead 2 contemp. Lead 4	ES contemp. contemp. contemp.	FR Lead 1 Lead 2 contemp.	Lead 4 Lead 4 Lead 2 contemp.	DE ES FR IT	DE Lead Lag Lead	ES Lag Lag	FR Lead Lead Lag	Lag Lead Lead

Appendix 5: Maximal cross-correlation (1980Q1 - 2008Q4) (cont´d)

Employment in construction sector	Maxima correlation located in:	Country column on country row
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.28 0.39 -0.04 ES 0.34 1.00 0.73 0.28 FR 0.36 0.73 1.00 0.30 IT 0.03 0.25 0.18 1.00	DE	DE ES FR IT DE Lag Lead Lag ES Lead Contemp. Lead FR Lag Contemp. Lead IT Lead Lag Lag
Building permits		
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 -0.04 0.06 ES 0.16 1.00 0.74 FR 0.06 0.77 1.00 IT	DE	DE ES FR IT DE Lag Lag ES Lead Lag FR Lead Lead Lag IT
Housing starts		
DE ES FR IT DE ES FR IT ES . 1.00 0.70 FR 0.73 1.00 IT	DE ES FR IT DE #N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A ES #N/A contemp. contemp. #N/A FR #N/A Lead 1 contemp. #N/A IT #N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A	DE ES FR IT DE ES FR IT ES
House prices		
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.40 0.06 0.19 ES 0.30 1.00 -0.41 0.79 FR 0.37 0.47 1.00 0.40 IT 0.19 0.79 -0.41 1.00	DE	DE ES FR IT DE Lead Lag contemp. ES Lag Lag Contemp. FR Lead Lead It IT Contemp. Contemp. Lag
Real House prices		
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.37 0.51 0.32 ES 0.10 1.00 0.43 0.22 FR 0.51 0.59 1.00 0.45 IT 0.32 0.25 0.45 1.00	DE	DE ES FR IT DE Lead contemp. contemp. ES Lag Lag Lag FR Contemp. Lead Contemp. IT Contemp. Lead Contemp.

DOCUMENTO OCASIONAL N.º 1001

Appendix 6: Cross-correlation (1999Q1 - 2008Q4)

0.86

0.84

0.89

GDP Cont	empoi	raneo	i i e					
Cont	DE	unco	ES		FR		IT	
DE		1.00		0.93		0.85		0.92
ES		0.93		1.00		0.92		0.85
FR		0.85		0.92		1.00		0.85
IT	L	0.92		0.85		0.85	L	1.00
	stmen empoi			uctio	n			
	DE		ES		FR		IT	
DE	DE	1.00	ES	0.32	FR	0.44	IT	0.03
DE ES	DE	1.00	ES	0.32	FR	0.44	IT	0.03
	DE		ES		FR			
ES	DE	0.32	ES	1.00		0.69		0.06

Households' investment in housing

0.66

Non residential construction investment

ES

Contemporaneous

Contemporaneous DĖ

0.63

ES

FR

IT

DE

ES

FR

IT

	Lead /lag 1			
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE	0.88	0.34	0.54	0.
ES	0.30	0.96	0.70	0.
FR	0.29	0.64	0.94	-0.
IT	-0.05	0.04	-0.16	0.

FR

Lead /lag 1

0.96

0.95

0.91

ES

DE

DE

ES

FR

ΙT

0.66

0.42

0.15

0.83

1.00

Lead /						Lead	
DE	ES		FR	11	_	DE	E\$
(0.88	0.34	0.54	0.11	DE		0.58
(0.30	0.96	0.70	0.09	ES	;	0.26
(0.29	0.64	0.94	-0.16	FF	₹ .	0.17
-(0.05	0.04	-0.16	0.87	IT		0.03
					•		

IT

0.85

0.95

Lead	/lag 1	ı			
DE		ES	FR	IT	
	0.91	0.56	0.74	0.51	
	0.65	0.92	0.60	0.68	Е
	0.46	0.33	0.94	0.62	F
	0.64	0.57	0.73	0.92	ľ
		0.91 0.65 0.46	0.91 0.56 0.65 0.92 0.46 0.33	DE ES FR 0.91 0.56 0.74 0.65 0.92 0.60 0.46 0.33 0.94	DE ES FR IT 0.91 0.56 0.74 0.51 0.65 0.92 0.60 0.68 0.46 0.33 0.94 0.62

	Lead /lag	1		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE ES	0.95	0.39	0.83	0.54
	0.67	0.96	0.56	0.32
FR	0.77	0.45	0.95	0.44
IT	0.28	-0.01	0.16	0.95

	Lead /lag 2					
	DE	ES	FR	IT		
DE	0.82	0.27	0.77	0.63		
ES	0.78	0.87	0.63	0.44		
FR	0.67	0.40	0.83	0.53		
IT	0.13	-0.20	-0.01	0.80		

Lead /lag 2

0.84

0.92

0.89

Lead /lag 2

0.29

DE

ES

DE

DE

ES

FR

ΙT

FR

FR

0.85

0.31

0.87

0.56

0.72

0.17

0.47

IT

IT

0.78

0.85

0.18

0.12

-0.14

0.65

0.49

0.54

0.74

0.83

0.69

0.80

0.65

0.78

ac	d /lag 2	2				Lead /lag	4		
=		ES	FR	IT	_	DE	ES	FR	IT
	0.82	0.27	0.77	0.63	DE	0.48	-0.02	0.49	0.69
	0.78	0.87	0.63	0.44	ES	0.87	0.60	0.75	0.57
	0.67	0.40	0.83	0.53	FR	0.47	0.18	0.41	0.62
ı	0.13	-0.20	-0.01	0.80	IT	-0.18	-0.61	-0.33	0.32
_									

Lead /lag 4

0.74

0.74

Lead /lag 4

Lead /lag 4

0.44

0.05

DE

-0.03

0.22

0.09

DE

DE

DE

ES

FR

IT

DE

ES

FR

DE

ES

FR

IT

ES

FR

0.37

0.62

0.64

0.36

0.39

-0.05

IT

0.55

0.13

0.16

0.50

0.24

0.46

0.48

0.62

0.36

0.63

0.30

Construction Value added Contemporaneous							
Oonik	DE	ES	FR	IT			
DE	1.00	0.31	0.62	0.30			
ES	0.31	1.00	0.62	0.48			
FR	0.62	0.62	1.00	0.72			
IT	0.30	0.48	0.72	1.00			

	Lead /lag	1 ES	FR	IT
DE	0.92	0.15	0.56	0.25
ES	0.50	0.95	0.72	0.53
FR	0.64	0.51	0.96	0.77
IT	0.34	0.44	0.62	0.94

	Lead /lag	2		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE	0.72	-0.01	0.48	0.18
ES	0.62	0.82	0.75	0.55
FR	0.64	0.37	0.86	0.76
ΙT	0.41	0.41	0.51	0.80

	Lead /lag 4					
	DE	ES	FR	IT		
DE	0.33	-0.32	0.28	0.03		
ES	0.73	0.55	0.74	0.54		
FR	0.65	0.09	0.55	0.61		
IT	0.55	0.32	0.25	0.41		

Appendix 6: Cross-correlation (1999Q1 - 2008Q4) (cont'd)

Employment in construction sector Contemporaneous DE ES DE ES 0.72 0.43 FR

	Lead	i /iag	I					
	DE		ES		FR		IT	
DE		0.96		0.68		0.92		0.35
ES		0.82		0.95		0.86		0.39
FR		0.76		0.58		0.97		0.44
IT		0.22		0.44		0.46		0.82

	Lead /lag	2		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE ES	0.84	0.58	0.92	0.43
ES	0.81	0.83	0.91	0.33
FR	0.62	0.43	0.89	0.44
IT	0.19	0.36	0.46	0.40

	Lead /lag 4			
	DE	ES	FR	IT
ÞΕ	0.52	0.26	0.76	0.49
S	0.74	0.55	0.89	0.27
R	0.30	0.07	0.58	0.34
Τ	0.18	0.04	0.38	-0.26

	Building permits							
Conte	Contemporaneous							
	DE	ES	FR	IT				
DE	1.00	0.19	0.10					
ES	0.19	1.00	0.85					
FR	0.10	0.85	1.00					
IT								

	Lead /lag 1					
	DE	ES	FR	IT		
DE	0.90	0.32	0.26			
ES	0.04	0.95	0.79			
FR	-0.08	0.83	0.96			
IT						

	Lead /lag 2	2		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE	0.62	0.43	0.43	
ES	-0.13	0.78	0.66	
FR	-0.24	0.76	0.84	
Т				

DE	ES	FR	IT
-0.12			
-0.41	0.26	0.26	
-0.46	0.49	0.47	
	DE -0.12 -0.41	-0.12 0.52	DE ES FR -0.12 0.52 0.67 -0.41 0.26 0.26

	Housing starts Contemporaneous				
	DE	ES	FR	IT	
DE					
ES		1.00	0.80		
FR		0.80	1.00		
IT					

	Lead /lag '	1		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE				
ES		0.96	0.75	
FR		0.81	0.96	
IT				

	Lead /lag 2	2		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE				
ES		0.83	0.67	
FR		0.77	0.85	
IT				

	Lead /lag 4	1		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE				
ES		0.42	0.39	
FR		0.58	0.52	
IT				

Hous	House prices				
Conte	emporaneo	us			
	DE	ES	FR	IT	
DE	1.00	0.47	-0.05	0.26	
ES	0.47	1.00	-0.26	0.86	
FR	-0.05	-0.26	1.00	-0.23	
IT	0.26	0.86	-0.23	1.00	

	Lead /lag	1		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE ES	0.95	0.42	-0.21	0.29
ES	0.53	0.98	-0.39	0.78
FR	0.13	0.00	0.95	0.02
IT	0.22	0.87	-0.34	0.96

	Lead /lag 2	2		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE	0.81	0.35	-0.36	0.28
ES	0.60	0.91	-0.51	0.67
FR	0.29	0.25	0.82	0.26
IT	0.17	0.84	-0.41	0.85

	Lead /lag	4		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE	0.40	0.20	-0.62	0.19
ES	0.63	0.58	-0.72	0.3
FR	0.53	0.58	0.48	0.58
IT	0.04	0.66	-0.52	0.48

Real	House	prices

Contemporan	eous
DE	ES

COIL	emporaneo	us		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE	1.00	-0.06	0.19	0.02
ES	-0.06	1.00	0.85	0.24
FR	0.19	0.85	1.00	0.09
IT	0.02	0.24	0.09	1.00

	Lead /lag '	l		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE	0.93	-0.07	0.18	0.10
ES	-0.08	0.96	0.92	0.15
FR	0.17	0.72	0.97	0.00
IT	-0.11	0.31	0.19	0.91

	Lead /lag 2	2		
	DE	ES	FR	IT
DE	0.76	-0.10	0.14	0.11
ES	-0.07	0.84	0.93	0.06
FR	0.14	0.56	0.88	-0.08
IT	-0.25	0.37	0.28	0.65

	Lead /lag 4					
	DE	ES	FR	IT		
DE	0.21	-0.31	-0.09	-0.04		
ES	0.04	0.53	0.84	-0.03		
FR	0.08	0.19	0.61	-0.18		
IT	-0.44	0.44	0.38	-0.03		

Appendix 7: Maximal cross-correlation (1999Q1-2008Q4)

Maxima correlation located in:	GDP										
DE	DE ES ED IT					IT					IT
DE	DE 1.00 0.93 0.85 0.92 ES 0.95 1.00 0.92 0.85 FR 0.91 0.92 1.00 0.89	DE ES FR	contemp. Lead 1 Lead 1	contemp. contemp.	contemp. contemp. contemp.	contemp. D contemp. E Lead 1	S R	 Lead Lead	Lag Contemp.	Lag Contemp.	contemp. Contemp. Lead
DE 1.00	Investment in construction										
DE	DE 1.00 0.34 0.57 0.18 ES 0.32 1.00 0.70 0.16 FR 0.44 0.69 1.00 0.10	DE ES FR	contemp. contemp. contemp.	Lead 1 contemp. contemp.	Lead 2 Lead 1 contemp.	Lead 2 D Lead 4 E Lead 4 F	S R	Lag Lag	Lead Lag	Lead Lead 	Lead Lead Lead
DE	Households' investment in housing										
DE	DE 1.00 0.64 0.77 0.60 ES 0.65 1.00 0.65 0.68 FR 0.63 0.48 1.00 0.71	DE ES FR	contemp. Lead 1 contemp.	contemp. contemp. contemp.	Lead 2 Lead 2 contemp.	contemp. D Lead 1 E contemp. F	S R	Lead Lag	Lag Lag	Lead Lead 	Lag Lead Lag
DE	Non residential construction investment										
DE ES FR IT DE ES FR IT DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.31 0.62 0.30 DE contemp. contemp. contemp. DE Lag Lag Lag ES 0.73 1.00 0.75 0.55 ES Lead 4 contemp. Lead 2 ES Lead Lead Lead FR 0.65 0.62 1.00 0.77 FR Lead 4 contemp. contemp. Lead 1 FR Lead Lag Lead	DE 1.00 0.51 0.83 0.69 ES 0.87 1.00 0.75 0.57 FR 0.83 0.47 1.00 0.62	DE ES FR	contemp. Lead 4 contemp.	contemp. contemp. contemp.	Lead 1 Lead 4 contemp.	Lead 4 D Lead 4 E Lead 4 F	S R	 Lead Lag	Lag Lag	Lead Lead	Lead Lead Lead
DE 1.00 0.31 0.62 0.30 DE contemp. contemp. contemp. DE Lag Lag Lag ES 0.73 1.00 0.75 0.55 ES Lead 4 contemp. Lead 2 Lead 2 ES Lead Lead Lead FR 0.65 0.62 1.00 0.77 FR Lead 4 contemp. contemp. Lead 1 FR Lead Lag Lead	Construction Value added										
	DE 1.00 0.31 0.62 0.30 ES 0.73 1.00 0.75 0.55 FR 0.65 0.62 1.00 0.77	DE ES FR	contemp. Lead 4 Lead 4	contemp. contemp. contemp.	contemp. Lead 2 contemp.	contemp. Lead 2 Lead 1	ES FR	Lead Lead	Lag Lag	Lag Lead 	Lag Lead Lead

Appendix 7: Maximal cross-correlation (1999Q1-2008Q4) (cont'd)

Employment in construction sector	Maxima correlation located	l in:	Country colu	umn on country row	
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.76 0.92 0.49 ES 0.82 1.00 0.51 0.43 FR 0.87 0.72 1.00 0.45 IT 0.29 0.44 0.46 1.00		Lead 2 contemp. contemp.	DE DE ES Lead FR Lag IT Lag	ES FR Lag Lead	IT Lead Lag Lag
Building permits					
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.52 0.67 ES 0.19 1.00 0.85 FR 0.10 0.85 1.00 IT	FR contemp. contemp.	contemp.	DE DE ES Lag FR Lag	ES FR Lead Lead Contemp. Contemp.	IT
Housing starts					
DE ES FR IT DE ES FR IT ES 1.00 0.80 FR 0.81 1.00 IT	FR Lead 1	contemp.	DE DE DE SE	ES FR Lag	IT
House prices					
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.47 -0.05 0.29 ES 0.63 1.00 -0.26 0.86 FR 0.53 0.58 1.00 0.58 IT 0.26 0.87 -0.23 1.00	DE	contemp. contemp. contemp.	DE DE Lead TR Lead TR Lead TR Lag	ES FR Lag Lag Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag	IT Lead Lag Lead
Real House prices					
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 -0.06 0.19 0.11 ES 0.04 1.00 0.93 0.24 FR 0.19 0.85 1.00 0.09 IT 0.02 0.44 0.38 1.00	DE	Lead 2 contemp. contemp.	DE DE ES Lead FR Contemp. IT Lag	ES FR Lag contemp. Lead Lag Lead Lead	IT Lead Lag Lag

Appendix 8: Concordance indexes (1980 Q1- 2008Q4)

GDP			
Contemporaneous DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT
DE 1.00 0.58 0.69 0.72 ES 0.58 1.00 0.72 0.59	DE 0.90 0.54 0.64 0.70 ES 0.62 0.93 0.70 0.57	DE 0.81 0.48 0.58 0.64 ES 0.66 0.86 0.69 0.56	DE 0.62 0.39 0.46 0.50 ES 0.67 0.71 0.60 0.52
FR 0.69 0.72 1.00 0.73	FR 0.70 0.71 0.92 0.70	FR 0.70 0.71 0.84 0.66	FR 0.71 0.67 0.68 0.56
IT 0.72 0.59 0.73 1.00	IT 0.58 0.59 0.69 0.92	IT 0.58 0.60 0.64 0.84	IT 0.57 0.61 0.53 0.68
Investment in construction			
Contemporaneous DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT
DE 1.00 0.55 0.38 0.36	DE 0.91 0.56 0.40 0.38	DE 0.82 0.56 0.44 0.40	DE 0.64 0.55 0.50 0.46
ES 0.55 1.00 0.79 0.62	ES 0.53 0.92 0.78 0.64	ES 0.51 0.84 0.77 0.67	ES 0.49 0.69 0.71 0.71
FR 0.38 0.79 1.00 0.67 IT 0.36 0.62 0.67 1.00	FR 0.37 0.78 0.92 0.71 IT 0.34 0.57 0.64 0.92	FR 0.37 0.75 0.84 0.74 IT 0.33 0.53 0.61 0.84	FR 0.37 0.63 0.69 0.71 IT 0.32 0.45 0.52 0.68
11 [0.50] 0.02[0.07[1.00]	0.04 0.07 0.04 0.32	11 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.04	11 0.32 0.40 0.32 0.00
Households' investment in housing			
Contemporaneous DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT
DE 1.00 0.64 0.45 0.68	DE 0.90 0.62 0.52 0.69	DE 0.79 0.61 0.58 0.68	DE 0.57 0.55 0.62 0.63
ES 0.64 1.00 0.72 0.61	ES 0.60 0.92 0.71 0.65	ES 0.56 0.84 0.68 0.68	ES 0.50 0.68 0.59 0.67
FR 0.45 0.72 1.00 0.58 IT 0.68 0.61 0.58 1.00	FR 0.39 0.71 0.90 0.58 IT 0.66 0.58 0.57 0.92	FR 0.33 0.67 0.81 0.59 IT 0.62 0.55 0.54 0.84	FR 0.30 0.55 0.61 0.56 IT 0.50 0.46 0.50 0.69
[0.00 0.01 0.02	11 [0.02] 0.00] 0.04	11 0.00 0.40 0.00 0.00
Non residential construction investment			
Contemporaneous	Lead /lag 1	Lead /lag 2	Lead /lag 4
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.50 0.68 0.58	DE ES FR IT DE 0.93 0.49 0.70 0.58	DE ES FR IT DE 0.86 0.45 0.69 0.58	DE ES FR IT DE 0.73 0.41 0.67 0.60
DE 1.00 0.50 0.68 0.58 ES 0.50 1.00 0.56 0.55	DE 0.93 0.49 0.70 0.58 ES 0.50 0.89 0.60 0.61	ES 0.47 0.77 0.64 0.62	DE 0.73 0.41 0.67 0.60 ES 0.47 0.54 0.68 0.62
FR 0.68 0.56 1.00 0.70	FR 0.66 0.50 0.90 0.72	FR 0.62 0.43 0.81 0.71	FR 0.52 0.41 0.62 0.63
IT 0.58 0.55 0.70 1.00	IT 0.54 0.50 0.67 0.90	IT 0.51 0.45 0.64 0.80	IT 0.47 0.39 0.54 0.61
Construction Value added			
Contemporaneous	Lead /lag 1	Lead /lag 2	Lead /lag 4
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.69 0.51 0.55	DE ES FR IT DE 0.91 0.69 0.50 0.54	DE ES FR IT DE 0.82 0.67 0.50 0.53	DE ES FR IT DE 0.66 0.62 0.51 0.55
ES 0.69 1.00 0.61 0.57	ES 0.69 0.91 0.67 0.61	ES 0.70 0.82 0.71 0.63	ES 0.66 0.64 0.69 0.68
FR 0.51 0.61 1.00 0.73	FR 0.54 0.54 0.92 0.79	FR 0.54 0.46 0.84 0.80	FR 0.54 0.41 0.69 0.79
IT 0.55 0.57 0.73 1.00	IT 0.55 0.51 0.67 0.92	IT 0.56 0.46 0.61 0.84	IT 0.59 0.39 0.46 0.70

Appendix 8: Concordance indexes (1980 Q1- 2008Q4) (cont'd)

Employment in construction sector Contemporaneous DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.59 0.67 0.40 ES 0.59 1.00 0.73 0.61 FR 0.67 0.73 1.00 0.60 IT 0.40 0.61 0.60 1.00	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT DE 0.92 0.59 0.68 0.40 ES 0.60 0.93 0.72 0.60 FR 0.64 0.75 0.92 0.63 IT 0.42 0.63 0.57 0.90	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT DE 0.84 0.57 0.68 0.42 ES 0.62 0.86 0.69 0.59 FR 0.60 0.75 0.84 0.63 IT 0.44 0.62 0.53 0.81	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT DE 0.70 0.54 0.66 0.52 ES 0.62 0.71 0.58 0.54 FR 0.54 0.69 0.70 0.62 IT 0.54 0.58 0.46 0.61
Building permits Contemporaneous DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.58 0.60 NA ES 0.58 1.00 0.72 NA FR 0.60 0.72 1.00 NA IT NA NA 1.00	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT DE 0.90 0.60 0.59 ES 0.56 0.92 0.70 FR 0.58 0.74 0.90 IT	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT DE 0.79 0.61 0.58 ES 0.57 0.84 0.68 FR 0.56 0.75 0.81 IT	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT DE 0.59 0.56 0.54 ES 0.60 0.68 0.62 FR 0.55 0.65 0.61 IT
Housing starts Contemporaneous DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 ES 1.00 0.72 0.00 FR 0.72 1.00 0.00 IT 1.00	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT DE ES 0.93 0.69 FR 0.75 0.92	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT DE	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT DE
House prices Contemporaneous DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.58 0.46 0.47 ES 0.58 1.00 0.55 0.70 FR 0.46 0.55 1.00 0.54 IT 0.47 0.70 0.54 1.00	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT DE 0.91 0.61 0.49 0.50 ES 0.54 0.93 0.51 0.73 FR 0.44 0.59 0.92 0.55 IT 0.44 0.66 0.54 0.95	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT DE 0.82 0.64 0.52 0.53 ES 0.50 0.86 0.47 0.76 FR 0.43 0.63 0.84 0.55 IT 0.44 0.62 0.54 0.89	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT DE 0.64 0.69 0.54 0.55 ES 0.44 0.71 0.45 0.78 FR 0.44 0.59 0.68 0.60 IT 0.45 0.56 0.49 0.79
Real House prices Contemporaneous DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.58 0.67 0.49 ES 0.58 1.00 0.63 0.53 FR 0.67 0.63 1.00 0.53 IT 0.49 0.53 0.53 1.00	Lead /lag 1 DE ES FR IT DE 0.90 0.58 0.65 0.54 ES 0.57 0.92 0.61 0.55 FR 0.68 0.65 0.93 0.54 IT 0.44 0.54 0.52 0.93	Lead /lag 2 DE ES FR IT DE 0.81 0.61 0.61 0.57 ES 0.55 0.84 0.55 0.56 FR 0.68 0.64 0.86 0.55 IT 0.41 0.54 0.52 0.86	Lead /lag 4 DE ES FR IT DE 0.61 0.60 0.54 0.56 ES 0.49 0.68 0.44 0.59 FR 0.64 0.62 0.71 0.54 IT 0.38 0.57 0.51 0.71

Appendix 9: Maximal cross-concordance and leading degree (1980Q1 - 2008Q4)

DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.58 0.69 0.72 ES 0.67 1.00 0.72 0.59 FR 0.71 0.72 1.00 0.73 IT 0.72 0.61 0.73 1.00	Maxima correlation located in: DE ES FR IT DE contemp. contemp. contemp. ES Lead 4 contemp. contemp. contemp. FR Lead 1 contemp. contemp. contemp. IT contemp. Lead 4 contemp. contemp.	Country row lead/lag country column DE ES FR IT DE Lead Lontemp. Lead Contemp. Contemp. Lag FR Contemp. Lag Contemp. Contemp. Contemp. Contemp.
Investment in construction		
DE ES FR IT DE	DE ES FR IT DE contemp. Lead 1 Lead 4 Lead 4 ES contemp. Contemp. Contemp. Lead 4 FR contemp. Contemp. Contemp. Lead 2 IT contemp. Contemp. Contemp. Contemp. Contemp.	DE ES FR IT DE
Households' investment in housing		
DE ES FR IT DE1_00	DE ES FR IT DE contemp. contemp. Lead 1 Lead 2 FR contemp. contemp. contemp. Lead 2 IT contemp. contemp. contemp. Lead 2 IT contemp. contemp. contemp. contemp.	DE
Non residential construction investment		
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.50 0.70 0.60 ES 0.50 1.00 0.68 0.62 FR 0.68 0.56 1.00 0.72 IT 0.58 0.55 0.70 1.00	DE	DE ES FR IT DE contemp. lead lead ES Contemp. lead lead FR Lag Lag lead IT Lag Lag

Appendix 9: Maximal cross-concordance and leading degree (1980Q1 - 2008Q4) (cont´d)

Construction Value added		
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.69 0.51 0.55	DE ES FR IT DE contemp. contemp. contemp.	DE ES FR IT DE ! Lag
ES 0.70 1.00 0.71 0.68	ES Lead 2contemp. Lead 2Lead 4	ES Lead Lead Lead
FR 0.54 0.61 1.00 0.80 IT 0.59 0.57 0.73 1.00	FR Lead 1 contemp. contemp. Lead 2 IT Lead 4 contemp. contemp. contemp.	FR Lead Lag Lead
Employment in construction sector	Maxima correlation located in:	Country column on country row
DE ES FR IT	DE ES FR IT	DE ES FR IT
DE 1.00 0.59 0.68 0.52 ES 0.62 1.00 0.73 0.61	DE contemp. contemp. Lead 1 Lead 4 ES Lead 2 contemp. contemp. contemp.	DE Lag Lead Lag ES Lead Lag Lag Lag
FR 0.67 0.75 1.00 0.63	FR contemp. Lead 1 contemp. Lead 1	FR Lag Lead I Lead
IT 0.54 0.63 0.60 1.00	IT Lead 4 Lead 1 contemp. contemp.	IT Lead Lead Lag
Building permits		
DE ES FR IT	DE ES FR IT	DE ES FR IT
DE 1.00 0.61 0.60	DE contemp. Lead 2 contemp. #N/A	DE Lead contemp.
ES 0.60 1.00 0.72 FR 0.60 0.75 1.00	ES Lead 4 contemp. contemp. #N/A FR contemp. Lead 2 contemp. #N/A	ES Lag Lag FR Contemp. Lead
IT CONTROL OF THE CON	IT #N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A	ш
Housing starts		
DE ES FR IT	DE ES FR IT	DE ES FR IT
DE	DE contemp. contemp. contemp.	DE L
ES 1.00 0.72 FR 0.75 1.00	ES contemp. contemp. contemp. FR contemp. Lead 1 contemp. contemp.	ES Lead Lag
IT	IT #N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A	п
House prices		
DE ES FR IT DE 1.00 0.69 0.54 0.55	DE ES FR IT DE contemp. Lead 4 Lead 4 Lead 4	DE
ES 0.58 1.00 0.55 0.78	ES contemp. contemp. Lead 4	ES Lag Lead Lead
FR 0.46 0.63 1.00 0.60 IT 0.47 0.70 0.54 1.00	FR contemp. Lead 2 contemp. Lead 4	FR Lag Lead _ Lead Lead _ Lead
11 0.47 0.70 0.54 1.00	IT contemp. contemp. contemp. contemp.	IT Lag Lag Lag
Real House prices		
DE ES FR IT	DE ES FR IT	DE ES FR IT
DE 1.00 0.61 0.67 0.57 ES 0.58 1.00 0.63 0.59	DE contemp. Lead 2 contemp. Lead 2 ES contemp. contemp. contemp. Lead 4	DE LeadLagLead ES Lag LagLead
FR0.68	FR Lead 1 Lead 1 contemp. Lead 2	FR Lead Lead Lead
IT 0.49 0.57 0.53 1.00	IT contemp. Lead 4 contemp. contemp.	IT Lag Lag Lag

BANCO DE ESPAÑA PUBLICATIONS

OCCASIONAL PAPERS

- 0501 JOSÉ RAMÓN MARTÍNEZ-RESANO: Size and heterogeneity matter. A microstructure-based analysis of regulation of secondary markets for government bonds.
- 0502 ALICIA GARCÍA-HERRERO, SERGIO GAVILÁ AND DANIEL SANTABÁRBARA: China's banking reform: an assessment of its evolution and possible impact.
- 0503 ANA BUISÁN, DAVID LEARMONTH AND MARÍA SEBASTIÁ BARRIEL: An industry approach to understanding export performance: stylised facts and empirical estimation.
- 0504 ANA BUISÁN AND FERNANDO RESTOY: Cross-country macroeconometric heterogeneity in EMU.
- 0505 JOSÉ LUIS MALO DE MOLINA: Una larga fase de expansión de la economía española.
- 0506 VÍCTOR GARCÍA-VAQUERO AND JORGE MARTÍNEZ: Fiscalidad de la vivienda en España.
- 0507 JAIME CARUANA: Monetary policy, financial stability and asset prices.
- 0601 JUAN F. JIMENO, JUAN A. ROJAS AND SERGIO PUENTE: Modelling the impact of aging on Social Security expenditures.
- 0602 PABLO MARTÍN-ACEÑA: La Banque de France, la BRI et la création du Service des Études de la Banque d'Espagne au début des années 1930. (There is a Spanish version of this edition with the same number.)
- 0603 CRISTINA BARCELÓ: Imputation of the 2002 wave of the Spanish Survey of Household Finances (EFF).
- 0604 RAFAEL GÓMEZ AND PABLO HERNÁNDEZ DE COS: The importance of being mature: The effect of demographic maturation on global per-capita income.
- 0605 JUAN RUIZ AND JOSEP VILARRUBIA: International recycling of petrodollars. (The original Spanish version has the same number.)
- 0606 ALICIA GARCÍA-HERRERO AND SERGIO GAVILÁ: Posible impacto de Basilea II en los países emergentes.
- 0607 ESTHER GORDO, JAVIER JAREÑO AND ALBERTO URTASUN: Radiografía del sector de servicios en España.
- 0608 JUAN AYUSO, ROBERTO BLANCO AND FERNANDO RESTOY: House prices and real interest rates in Spain.
- 0701 JOSÉ LUIS MALO DE MOLINA: Los principales rasgos y experiencias de la integración de la economía española en la UEM.
- 0702 ISABEL ARGIMÓN, FRANCISCO DE CASTRO AND ÁNGEL LUIS GÓMEZ: Una simulación de los efectos de la reforma del IRPF sobre la carga impositiva.
- 0703 YENER ALTUNBAŞ, ALPER KARA AND ADRIAN VAN RIXTEL: Corporate governance and corporate ownership: The investment behaviour of Japanese institutional investors.
- 0704 ARTURO MACÍAS AND ÁLVARO NASH: Efectos de valoración en la posición de inversión internacional de España.
- 0705 JUAN ÁNGEL GARCÍA AND ADRIAN VAN RIXTEL: Inflation-linked bonds from a central bank perspective.
- 0706 JAVIER JAREÑO: Las encuestas de opinión en el análisis coyuntural de la economía española.
- 0801 MARÍA J. NIETO AND GARRY J. SCHINASI: EU framework for safeguarding financial stability: Towards an analytical benchmark for assessing its effectiveness.
- 0802 SILVIA IRANZO: Delving into country risk. (There is a Spanish version of this edition with the same number.)
- 0803 OLYMPIA BOVER: The Spanish survey of household finances (EFF): Description and methods of the 2005 wave.
- 0804 JAVIER DÍAZ-CASSOU, AITOR ERCE-DOMÍNGUEZ AND JUAN J. VÁZQUEZ-ZAMORA: Recent episodes of sovereign debt restructurings. A case-study approach.
- 0805 JAVIER DÍAZ-CASSOU, AITOR ERCE-DOMÍNGUEZ AND JUAN J. VÁZQUEZ-ZAMORA: The role of the IMF in recent sovereign debt restructurings: Implications for the policy of lending into arrears.
- 0806 MIGUEL DE LAS CASAS AND XAVIER SERRA: Simplification of IMF lending. Why not just one flexible credit facility?
- 0807 MIGUEL GARCÍA-POSADA AND JOSEP M.ª VILARRUBIA: Mapa de exposición internacional de la economía española.
- 0808 SARAI CRIADO AND ADRIAN VAN RIXTEL: Structured finance and the financial turmoil of 2007-2008: An introductory overview. (There is a Spanish version of this edition with the same number.)

- 0809 FRANCISCO DE CASTRO AND JOSÉ M. GONZÁLEZ-MÍNGUEZ: La composición de las finanzas públicas y el crecimiento a largo plazo: Un enfoque macroeconómico.
- 0810 OLYMPIA BOVER: The dynamics of household income and wealth: results from the panel of the Spanish survey of household finances (EFF) 2002-2005. (The original Spanish version has the same number.)
- 0901 ÁNGEL ESTRADA, JUAN F. JIMENO AND JOSÉ LUIS MALO DE MOLINA: The Spanish economy in EMU: The first ten years. (The original Spanish version has the same number.)
- 0902 ÁNGEL ESTRADA AND PABLO HERNÁNDEZ DE COS: Oil prices and their effect on potential output. (The original Spanish version has the same number.)
- 0903 PALOMA LÓPEZ-GARCÍA, SERGIO PUENTE AND ÁNGEL LUIS GÓMEZ: Employment generation by small firms in Spain.
- 0904 LUIS J. ÁLVAREZ, SAMUEL HURTADO, ISABEL SÁNCHEZ AND CARLOS THOMAS: The impact of oil price changes on Spanish and euro area consumer price inflation.
- 0905 CORAL GARCIA, ESTHER GORDO, JAIME MARTÍNEZ-MARTÍN AND PATRY TELLO: Una actualización de las funciones de exportación e importación de la economía española.
- 1001 L. J. ÁLVAREZ, G. BULLIGAN, A. CABRERO, L FERRARA AND H. STAHL: Housing cycles in the major euro area countries.

