

Shock Propagation and Banking Structure

Mariassunta Giannetti

Stockholm School of Economics, CEPR, and ECGI

Farzad Saidi

Stockholm School of Economics and CEPR

May 24, 2017

Motivation

- Interconnected firms and industries lead to propagation and **amplification** of shocks throughout the economy (Acemoglu, Carvalho, Ozdaglar, and Tahbaz-Salehi 2012)
- Negative shocks can lead to fire sales and deplete firms' balance sheets in an industry (Lang and Stulz 1992)
- Shocks may also ripple through the supply chain (Hertzel, Li, Officer, and Rodgers 2008; Barrot and Sauvagnat 2016)

This paper

- Is banking structure related to the extent to which cascade effects due to interconnections propagate?
- **Main idea:**
Lenders may be prone to internalize externalities of industry distress if they have large shares of the loans outstanding in that industry

Related literature

- Banking literature focuses on **bank type/bank-firm relationships**
 - ▶ Foreign banks, local banks, etc. (Berger et al. 2005)
 - ▶ Foreign banks are fickle lenders (Giannetti and Laeven 2012)
 - ▶ Close relationships help borrowers during crises (Bolton, Freixas, and Gambacorta 2016; Liberti and Sturgess 2016)
- Notable exception: **bank presence**
 - ▶ Lenders with a large fraction of outstanding mortgages in a neighborhood are more likely to renegotiate defaulting mortgages/less likely to default (Favara and Giannetti 2017)

Related literature

- **Bank concentration and market power**
 - ▶ Affects loan supply (Garmaise and Moskowitz 2006) and the transmission of monetary policy to mortgage rates (Scharfstein and Sunderam 2016)
- **Our paper:** alternative interpretation to the view that credit-market competition erodes financial stability (Keeley 1990)

Main findings

- Banks with higher market shares are more likely to extend new loans to distressed industries
- Consistent with lenders' desire to minimize externalities
 - ▶ More pronounced in industries prone to fire sales
 - ▶ New loans to customers and suppliers, especially if relationship disruptions would be costly
- Bright side of credit concentration: fewer firm exits and higher long-term abnormal returns following distress

Data description

- U.S. syndicated loans from DealScan
 - ▶ Data aggregated at the bank-industry-time level, ijt
 - ▶ Loan amount y_{ijt} (t : six months) and $Market\ share_{ijt-2}$ (measured over the previous six years)
- Historical industry stock returns from CRSP
 - ▶ $Industry\ distress_{it-1}$ indicates whether industry i experienced a cumulative average stock-return of less than -10% in the previous half-year $t - 1$

Sample composition

- 57 industries and 211 banks
- On average, each industry obtains credit from 44 banks, and each bank covers 12 industries
- Our sample includes a total of 2,516 bank-industry relationships

Empirical strategy

- Analyze lending by bank j to industry i following distress as a function of bank j 's past market share in i :

$$y_{ijt} = \beta_1 \text{Market share}_{ijt-2} \times \text{Industry distress}_{it-1} \\ + \beta_2 \text{Market share}_{ijt-2} + \mu_{ij} + \theta_{it} + \psi_{jt} + \epsilon_{ijt}$$

- θ_{it} and ψ_{jt} absorb shocks to industry demand and credit supply
- Endogeneity of $\text{Market share}_{ijt-2}$: results robust to using exogenous variation resulting from past bank mergers

Bank lending to distressed industries

Sample	ln(1+Loan volume)			ln(Avg. loan size)	Any loan
	All	All	All	Loan vol. $\neq 0$	All
Regression sample from 1990 to 2013					
Market share \times Ind. distress	4.942*** (1.288)	3.562*** (0.956)	2.177** (0.855)	-0.186 (0.230)	0.117*** (0.045)
Market share	8.293*** (1.613)	12.581*** (1.269)	4.806*** (0.923)	-0.199 (0.375)	0.217*** (0.049)
Industry distress	-0.087 (0.065)				
Bank-industry FE	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Bank-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Industry-period FE	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
N	113,494	113,470	113,470	24,292	113,470

- ⇒ A one-standard-deviation increase in $Market\ share_{ijt-2}$ implies an over 12% increase in lending (column 3)
- ⇒ Results are not driven by the financial crisis

Are the effects driven by relationship banks?

Sample	ln(1+Loan volume)			ln(Avg. loan size)	Any loan
	All	All	All	Loan vol. \neq 0	All
Regression sample from 1990 to 2013, no relationship loans					
Market share \times Ind. distress	3.712** (1.453)	3.381** (1.495)	2.650** (1.245)	-0.021 (0.608)	0.140** (0.066)
Market share	21.712*** (3.745)	21.014*** (3.527)	11.400*** (2.832)	-1.989*** (0.395)	0.634*** (0.145)
Industry distress	0.006 (0.066)				
Bank-industry FE	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Bank-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Industry-period FE	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
N	59,444	59,425	59,412	11,026	59,412

Cross-sectional tests

- Are high-market-share lenders more likely to provide liquidity to industries prone to fire sales?
 - ▶ Measures of asset specificity: asset redeployability using capital-flow table from the BEA (Kung and Kim 2016) and ratio of machinery and equipment to total assets in year t
- Do high-market-share lenders provide liquidity along the supply chain to stave off externalities?
 - ▶ Identify main supplier and customer industries using BEA input-output tables (1997 – 2013)

Industry propensity to fire sales and bank lending to distressed industries

Specificity measure	ln(1+Loan vol.) Low asset redeployability	Any loan	ln(1+Loan vol.) High M&E/assets	Any loan
Market share × Ind. distress × Specific	8.266*** (2.009)	0.372*** (0.107)	4.837** (2.387)	0.230* (0.122)
Market share × Industry distress	1.029 (1.146)	0.065 (0.056)	0.532 (0.895)	0.026 (0.043)
Market share × Specific	0.919 (2.542)	0.016 (0.133)	-3.269 (2.522)	-0.108 (0.119)
Market share	-0.864 (1.494)	-0.055 (0.073)	-0.659 (2.297)	-0.076 (0.112)
Bank-industry FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
Bank-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
Industry-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
N	80,973	80,973	74,666	74,666

Bank lending to distressed industries' suppliers

Sample	ln(1+Loan vol.) All	ln(Avg. loan size) Loan vol. \neq 0	Any loan All	ln(1+Loan vol.) All	Any loan All
Cust. share \times Cust. distress	3.065*** (1.144)	-0.199 (0.430)	0.148*** (0.052)	3.070*** (1.140)	0.148*** (0.052)
Customer share	2.086 (2.173)	0.291 (0.310)	0.079 (0.098)	2.046 (2.100)	0.078 (0.095)
Mkt. share \times Ind. distress				2.603 (2.127)	0.130 (0.105)
Market share				-0.742 (2.039)	-0.057 (0.103)
Bank-industry FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Bank-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Industry-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
N	51,534	12,530	51,534	51,516	51,516

Bank lending to distressed industries' customers

Sample	ln(1+Loan vol.) All	ln(Avg. loan size) Loan vol. \neq 0	Any loan All	ln(1+Loan vol.) All	Any loan All
Supp. share \times Supp. distress	2.289* (1.170)	0.028 (0.359)	0.116** (0.057)	2.008 (1.241)	0.103* (0.059)
Supplier share	-0.075 (2.399)	-0.362 (0.225)	-0.018 (0.115)	-0.148 (2.257)	-0.020 (0.109)
Mkt. share \times Ind. distress				3.880** (1.666)	0.188** (0.083)
Market share				-0.538 (2.268)	-0.044 (0.116)
Bank-industry FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Bank-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Industry-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
N	46,228	11,071	46,228	46,210	46,210

Bank lending over the supply chain: relationship industries

	ln(1+Loan vol.)	Any loan	ln(1+Loan vol.)	Any loan
Cust. share × Cust. distress × Rel. industries	7.475** (3.075)	0.389** (0.160)		
Customer share × Customer distress	1.399 (1.523)	0.060 (0.070)		
Customer share × Relationship industries	-1.769 (3.178)	-0.125 (0.151)		
Customer share	2.735 (3.088)	0.124 (0.142)		
Supp. share × Supp. distress × Rel. industries			6.230* (3.275)	0.265* (0.155)
Supplier share × Supplier distress			-0.213 (1.584)	0.010 (0.072)
Supplier share × Relationship industries			-2.148 (2.182)	-0.112 (0.114)
Supplier share			0.621 (2.712)	0.018 (0.131)
Bank-industry FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
Bank-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
Industry-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
N	51,534	51,534	46,228	46,228

Bank mergers as source of variation in market shares: IVE

- Bank merger in $t - 2$, market share in industry i instrumented by sum of historical market shares of surviving bank j and target bank in $t - 4$

	ln(1+Loan volume)		
Market share \times Industry distress (instrumented)	6.249*		
	(3.366)		
Market share (instrumented)	-27.469***		
	(5.810)		
Customer share \times Customer distress (instrumented)	3.788**		
	(1.536)		
Customer share (instrumented)	24.781***		
	(3.904)		
Supplier share \times Supplier distress (instrumented)			2.293
			(2.012)
Supplier share (instrumented)			14.087
			(15.865)
Bank-industry FE	Y	Y	Y
Bank-period FE	Y	Y	Y
Industry-period FE	Y	Y	Y
N	43,931	27,065	24,415

To which customers do banks extend new loans?

Strategic dimension of banks' decision to extend new loans to distressed industries' customers

- 1 Customers less levered than distressed suppliers [Table](#)
- 2 Highly concentrated customers to distressed suppliers [Table](#)
 - ▶ No differential effect for distressed suppliers that are important for their customers

Alternative explanations

- Less diversified lenders may be better informed (Acharya, Hasan, and Saunders 2006; Loutskina and Strahan 2011)
 - ▶ Stronger effects for industries prone to fire sales, but fixed assets associated with lower degree of information asymmetry
 - ▶ Variation in market shares due to bank mergers (IVE) unlikely to capture lenders' informational advantage, **especially along the supply chain**
 - ▶ No effect of lenders' portfolio diversification [Table](#)
- No evidence of differential rents accruing to high-market-share lenders after distress (Wilner 2000) [Table](#)

Does higher industry-wide credit concentration alleviate consequences of distress?

- 1 Fewer firm exits following industry distress [Table](#)
- 2 Higher long-run abnormal returns after industry distress [Table](#)
 - ▶ 3 – 4% higher return p.a. up to seven years after distress
 - ▶ High-market-share banks' lending decisions are efficient

Conclusion

- Lenders' liquidity provision is affected by the degree to which they internalize potential feedback effects of negative shocks
- Lenders with a larger share of the loans outstanding to an industry in distress more likely to extend credit, also along the supply chain
- Transmission of industry shocks depends on concentration of outstanding loans

Bank lending to distressed industries' customers: relative leverage of suppliers vs. customers

Sample	$\ln(1+\text{Loan volume})$ All	$\ln(\text{Avg. loan size})$ Loan volume $\neq 0$	Any loan All
Supp. share \times Supp. distress \times Relative leverage	4.187*** (1.406)	0.334 (0.339)	0.204*** (0.074)
Supplier share \times Supplier distress	-2.949* (1.714)	-0.500 (0.641)	-0.131 (0.091)
Supplier share \times Relative leverage	2.206* (1.160)	-0.199 (0.324)	0.096 (0.062)
Supplier share	-0.977 (3.868)	-0.152 (0.560)	-0.054 (0.188)
Bank-industry FE	Y	Y	Y
Bank-period FE	Y	Y	Y
Industry-period FE	Y	Y	Y
N	43,476	10,493	43,476

Back

Bank lending to distressed industries' customers: importance of customers for their suppliers

Sample	$\ln(1+\text{Loan volume})$ All	$\ln(\text{Avg. loan size})$ Loan volume $\neq 0$	Any loan All
Supp. share \times Supp. distress \times Customer HHI	10.971** (5.445)	-3.838** (1.582)	0.584** (0.268)
Supplier share \times Supplier distress	0.852 (0.977)	0.566 (0.397)	0.037 (0.053)
Supplier share \times Customer HHI	3.744 (9.573)	2.239** (0.977)	-0.100 (0.490)
Supplier share	-0.667 (2.794)	-0.671*** (0.224)	-0.004 (0.139)
Bank-industry FE	Y	Y	Y
Bank-period FE	Y	Y	Y
Industry-period FE	Y	Y	Y
N	46,228	11,071	46,228

Back

Impact on cost of debt

Horizon Sample	ln(Spread)	ln(TCB)	ln(Spread)	ln(TCB)
	After 12 months		After 24 months	
	Loan volume $\neq 0$			
Market share \times Industry distress	0.033 (0.139)	0.057 (0.398)	0.002 (0.139)	-0.119 (0.272)
Market share	0.102 (0.218)	0.441** (0.168)	0.143 (0.243)	0.419** (0.161)
Bank-industry FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
Bank-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
Industry-period FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
N	16,160	6,635	14,998	6,104

Back

Distressed industries' shares in banks' loan portfolios

	ln(1+Loan volume)		
Portfolio share of industry × Industry distress	-1.831***		
	(0.609)		
Portfolio share of industry	-0.448		
	(0.375)		
Portfolio share of supplier × Supplier distress		0.191	
		(0.904)	
Portfolio share of supplier		0.480	
		(0.715)	
Portfolio share of customer × Customer distress			-0.505
			(1.809)
Portfolio share of customer			1.316
			(0.953)
Bank-industry FE	Y	Y	Y
Bank-period FE	Y	Y	Y
Industry-period FE	Y	Y	Y
N	71,983	41,845	46,607

Back

Industry-wide credit concentration and firm exit

Horizon HHI measure	Any bankruptcy-related delisting in industry					
	After 6 months			After 12 months		
	All banks	Top 1		All banks	Top 1	
Market HHI \times Ind. distress	-0.409** (0.161)	-0.341** (0.151)	-0.218 (0.144)	-0.403** (0.166)	-0.346*** (0.130)	-0.268** (0.134)
Market HHI	-0.642*** (0.151)	-0.016 (0.084)	-0.064 (0.095)	-0.646*** (0.150)	-0.010 (0.082)	-0.044 (0.093)
Industry distress	0.197*** (0.045)	0.131*** (0.041)	0.137** (0.053)	0.199*** (0.045)	0.133*** (0.035)	0.153*** (0.049)
Industry FE	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Time FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
N	2,633	2,633	2,633	2,579	2,579	2,579

Back

Credit concentration and long-run abnormal returns of industries following distress

α (in % per month)	Top-quintile credit concentration	Bottom-quintile credit concentration	Long-short
Three years	-0.858*** (0.170)	-1.127*** (0.129)	0.336** (0.158)
<i>N</i>	288	287	287
Five years	-0.812*** (0.158)	-1.048*** (0.122)	0.288** (0.133)
<i>N</i>	288	287	287
Seven years	-0.775*** (0.157)	-0.978*** (0.117)	0.243** (0.119)
<i>N</i>	288	287	287

Back